MRS Journal of Arts, Humanities and Literature
Abbreviate Title- MRS J Arts humanit Liter
ISSN *(Online) 3049-1444*
Vol-2, Iss-12 (December-2025)



OPEN ACCESS

Deconstructing Gender Stereotypes: A Feminist Study of Chetan Bhagat's Novels

Dr. Ankur Tripathi*

Assistant Professor, Department of English, H. D. Jain College, Ara

Article History: Received: 06 / 11 / 2025:, Accepted: 12 / 12 / 2025:, Published: 31 / 12 / 2025

Abstract: Chetan Bhagat is among the most widely read Indian novelists writing in English, yet his treatment of gender has received less sustained critical attention than his prose style or commercial success. This article offers a feminist and deconstructive reading of selected novels, namely *Five Point Someone*, *One Night @ the Call Center*, *The 3 Mistakes of My Life*, *2 States*, *Half Girlfriend*, *One Indian Girl* and *The Girl in Room 105*. Drawing on the work of Beauvoir, Millett, Butler, Connell, Kandiyoti and Indian feminist scholars, it argues that the novels are internally divided. At the level of characterisation they often endow women with intelligence, ambition and desire; at the level of narrative perspective, plot and closure they repeatedly return women to familiar roles as objects of male longing, instruments of male maturation and guardians of family honour. Male characters, too, are shown to be bound by stereotypes of provider and protector. The article concludes that Bhagat's fiction is a site of negotiation between a liberalising India and persistent patriarchal common sense.

Keywords: *Chetan Bhagat; gender stereotypes; feminist criticism; Indian English fiction; masculinity; popular literature; deconstruction.*

Cite this article: Tripathi, A. (2025). Deconstructing Gender Stereotypes: A Feminist Study of Chetan Bhagat's Novels. *MRS Journal of Arts, Humanities and Literature, 2 (12),41-45.*

Introduction

Since the publication of *Five Point Someone* in 2004, Chetan Bhagat has become one of the best-selling English-language novelists in India. His novels, written in a deliberately plain and conversational English, speak to young readers in small towns and metropolitan centres who were largely unaddressed by the more ornate tradition of Indian English fiction. Scholars of post-liberalisation culture have read such writing as an index of new middle-class aspiration, anxiety about employment, and changing ideas of love and marriage (Lukose, 2009; Oza, 2006). The gender politics of these novels, however, have been discussed far less systematically than their language, their popularity or their public afterlife in film.

This article asks how Bhagat's fiction constructs, reproduces and occasionally unsettles gender stereotypes. It proceeds from the observation that his novels are not uniformly conservative. His heroines are frequently educated, professionally capable and sexually self-possessed, and several of his plots openly criticise parental control, dowry-minded marriage and the policing of women's mobility. At the same time, the same novels tend to organise their stories around male protagonists, to filter women through male perception, and to resolve narrative tension through marriage, rescue or the sacrificial removal of a female character. The argument advanced here is that this double movement is the central feature of Bhagat's gender politics, and that a deconstructive feminist reading is well suited to bring it into view.

The study is a close textual analysis rather than a reception study. It does not claim to measure how readers respond to the novels. It examines narrative voice, characterisation, dialogue, plot structure and closure in the texts listed above, and it reads them against feminist theory and against the Indian social contexts they depict. The article first sets out its theoretical framework, then considers Bhagat's position within popular fiction, and then analyses the early male-narrated campus and workplace novels, the marriage plot of *2 States*, the class and language politics of *Half Girlfriend*, the experiment of a female narrator in *One Indian Girl*, and the uses of female death and suffering in *The Girl in Room 105* and *The 3 Mistakes of My Life*. A concluding section draws these strands together.

Theoretical Framework

The reading developed here rests on several overlapping strands of feminist thought. The first is Simone de Beauvoir's (2011) claim that femininity is made rather than given, and that woman is historically constructed as the Other against whom man defines himself as the neutral subject. Beauvoir's analysis is useful for fiction because it directs attention to who is allowed to be the subject of a story and who is permitted only to be its object. Kate Millett (1970) extended this insight to literary criticism in *Sexual Politics*, showing how canonical male writers present sexual relations as natural while concealing the power relations that structure them. Judith Butler's (1990) account of gender as performative, produced through the repetition of norms rather than expressing an inner essence, helps explain why stereotypes persist and also why they can be disturbed when characters fail to perform them as expected.

Laura Mulvey's (1975) concept of the male gaze, developed in film theory, has been widely adapted to prose, where the equivalent question concerns focalisation: through whose eyes

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC license

are bodies described, and whose interiority is rendered? R. W. Connell's (1995) theory of hegemonic masculinity is equally important, because gender stereotypes bind men as well as women. The ideal of the successful, protective, economically secure man is a culturally dominant form against which other masculinities are measured, and it demands constant proof. Sylvia Walby's (1990) analysis of patriarchy as a system operating across household, paid work, culture and sexuality offers a further structure for tracing how the family, the workplace and the romantic plot interlock in Bhagat's fiction.

Indian feminist scholarship supplies the necessary contextual corrections. Deniz Kandiyoti's (1988) notion of the patriarchal bargain explains why women may accept restrictive arrangements in return for security and status within the family. Uma Chakravarti (2003) demonstrates that gender in India cannot be separated from caste, since the regulation of women's sexuality and marriage has historically served to maintain caste boundaries. Chandra Talpade Mohanty (1988) and Gayatri Chakravorty Spivak (1988) warn against treating women as a single homogeneous category, an argument that is directly relevant to novels that depict upper-caste, urban, English-speaking women as representative of modern Indian womanhood. Patricia Uberoi (2006) shows how popular cinema and fiction negotiate the tension between romantic choice and family authority, a tension central to Bhagat's plots. Finally, Rosalind Gill (2007) and Angela McRobbie (2009) describe postfeminist culture, in which women's independence and desire are celebrated as individual consumer choices while structural inequality is quietly set aside.

Deconstruction, as practised in feminist criticism, supplies the method. Following Barbara Johnson (1980), the aim is not simply to expose a text as sexist but to read for the places where its own binaries, such as modern and traditional, desire and duty, independent and dependent, fail to hold. A deconstructive reading asks what a text must suppress in order to sustain its stereotype, and whether that suppressed material resurfaces elsewhere in the narrative.

Bhagat, Popular Fiction and the Question of Gender

Popular fiction has often been treated as a conservative form because it relies on recognisable types and satisfying closures. Janice Radway's (1984) study of romance readers complicated this assumption by showing that popular narratives can both reinforce patriarchal arrangements and offer readers imaginative space for dissatisfaction and desire. Bhagat's novels invite a similar double assessment. They are commercially successful in part because they dramatise anxieties that many young Indians recognise, including examination pressure, unemployment, the demands of parents, and the difficulty of marrying across region, religion or class. Women characters carry a good deal of the emotional charge of these stories.

Male Narrators and the Management of the Female Presence

Bhagat's early novels, *Five Point Someone* (2004) and *One Night @ the Call Center* (2005), are narrated by young men and organised around male friendship. In *Five Point Someone* the narrator Hari and his friends Ryan and Alok resist the rigid competitive culture of an elite engineering institute, and their solidarity is the emotional core of the book. Neha, the daughter of a professor and the narrator's love interest, is intelligent and outspoken. Yet she is seen entirely through Hari's perspective. Her inner life, her ambitions and her own sense of constraint are filtered through his longing, and her significance in the plot lies largely in the way she tests his loyalty and shapes his decisions. In Mulvey's (1975) terms, she is the object of the look rather than the bearer of it.

One Night @ the Call Center presents a wider range of women, including Priyanka, Esha and Radhika, and it deserves credit for placing women in the workplace as colleagues rather than as decorative figures. Each of these women, however, is defined by a problem that is relational or domestic. Priyanka's story is entangled with the narrator's romantic history and with expectations about her marriage. Esha's aspiration to become a model is treated with a mixture of sympathy and gentle mockery. Radhika's difficulties with her mother-in-law and her marriage frame her mainly as a daughter-in-law whose professional life is a negotiation with domestic authority. Work, in these novels, is where men prove themselves and where women are tested against family claims. The mother-in-law figure also deserves notice. The conflict between women within the household reproduces a familiar stereotype in which patriarchal pressure is displaced onto older women, leaving male authority largely unexamined (Kandiyoti, 1988).

Masculinity in these narratives is equally constrained. The male protagonists are anxious about grades, salaries and social standing, and they measure themselves against an ideal of the successful provider (Connell, 1995). The female characters are caught up in this anxiety, since a woman's admiration, consent or marriage often becomes the confirmation of male success. A deconstructive reading notes that the novels' apparent celebration of male rebellion against institutions depends on a structure in which women supply emotional validation without being given a comparable arc of their own. The binary of active male subject and responsive female object is therefore not abandoned but relocated into the territory of love.

The Marriage Plot and Patriarchal Bargains in 2 States

2 States: The Story of My Marriage (2009) is Bhagat's most sustained treatment of the family as a social institution. Krish, a Punjabi man, and Ananya, a Tamil woman, fall in love as students at a management institute and then confront the objections of their families. The novel is humorous and affectionate, and its stated project is the reconciliation of regional stereotypes. The Punjabi family is loud and materialistic, the Tamil family is studious and austere, and the lovers eventually succeed in bringing the families to accept the marriage. As a critique of regional prejudice the book has some force. As a gender narrative it is considerably more complicated.

Ananya is portrayed as a capable, ambitious professional who is also fully committed to the idea of a marriage sanctioned by parents. She is willing to work patiently to win over Krish's mother, and the narrative repeatedly presents this labour of conciliation as feminine competence. This is a clear instance of what Kandiyoti (1988) calls the patriarchal bargain, in which a woman secures protection and standing within the family by accepting the burdens of mediation and emotional management. The novel presents the bargain as love and good sense, and it does not ask why the work of reconciling families falls so heavily on the woman.

The mother-in-law and mother figures in the book also carry the weight of the stereotype. Krish's mother is shown as intrusive, status-conscious and obsessed with the bride's

appearance and dowry prospects, and the humour derives from her prejudice. Yet her character functions to relocate the problem of dowry-minded patriarchy from social structure to individual temperament. When she is eventually won over, the structure that produced her attitudes remains intact. Chakravarti (2003) has shown that marriage regulation in India serves to maintain caste and community boundaries, and *2 States* touches on this fact without pursuing it. The novel treats inter-regional marriage as a challenge to prejudice yet leaves the marriage institution itself unquestioned, and its closure confirms that a woman's desire is legitimate only when it can be translated into family approval.

At the same time, the text contains moments that disturb its own comic ease. Ananya's professional ambition and her moments of frustration with both families suggest a subject who exceeds the roles offered. These moments are brief and are narrated by Krish, who often interprets them as obstacles to his own plans. The text thus allows a feminist reader to see what the narrator does not, a gap between what Ananya experiences and what Krish reports. That gap is precisely where a deconstructive reading finds its purchase.

Class, Language and Desire in Half Girlfriend

Half Girlfriend (2014) centres on Madhav Jha, a young man from rural Bihar who enters an elite Delhi college and becomes infatuated with Riya Somani, a wealthy, English-speaking classmate. The novel is explicitly about the humiliations of class and language, and Madhav's struggle with English is central to its sympathy. The book also depends on a gendered vocabulary of desire. The phrase that gives the novel its title describes a woman who is neither fully committed nor fully unavailable, and the text frames this ambiguity primarily as a source of male frustration.

Riya is characterised through a familiar set of oppositions. She is modern, urban, fluent and affluent, while Madhav is rural, hesitant and economically insecure. The novel uses her as a measure of the distance that Madhav must travel, and her refusal to define the relationship in his terms is presented as a puzzle to be solved. Her own reasons are given through episodes of family difficulty, an unhappy marriage and illness, which gradually reposition her as a figure to be pitied and rescued. A woman initially introduced as confident and self-determined is thereby reconstituted as a victim whose pain legitimises the hero's devotion. This movement from agency to suffering is a recurrent pattern in popular romance and illustrates Millett's (1970) argument that narrative often resolves female independence by converting it into vulnerability.

The novel's use of language is also gendered. Madhav's command of English becomes a marker of his social ascent, and the text encourages the reader to experience Riya's English as both a barrier and a gift. Female sexuality, in turn, is tied to class privilege. Riya's freedom to move, to choose and to hesitate belongs to a metropolitan elite, and Mohanty's (1988) warning against generalising from one class of women to all is useful here. The novel does not ask what the options would look like for a woman from Madhav's own village. Its concern for rural disadvantage is expressed through the male protagonist, and its women remain either elite objects of aspiration or domestic figures who stay in the background.

The rural women of Dumraon appear largely as mothers and extended kin who embody tradition, endurance and sacrifice. Madhav's mother, in particular, serves as a moral anchor. The binary between the modern woman who is desirable but unreliable and the traditional woman who is dutiful but peripheral is characteristic of a long tradition in Indian popular culture that Uberoi (2006) describes. Bhagat's novel does not break this binary. It merely stages the male protagonist's movement between its poles.

One Indian Girl: A Female Narrator and Its Limits

One Indian Girl (2016) is the most obvious test of Bhagat's feminism because it is narrated in the first person by a woman. Radhika Mehta is a highly paid investment banker, and the novel opens with her anxieties about marriage, her sexual experiences and her relationship with her family. The book directly addresses stereotypes that govern women's lives, including the expectation that a woman's success should be balanced by humility, that a woman's sexual history is her liability, and that a woman should subordinate her career to her husband's. Radhika is allowed to speak candidly about desire, to reject some conventional expectations and to criticise the double standard that applies to men and women.

Several features of the novel nevertheless complicate its feminist claims. The first-person voice often reads as an exposition of ideas about women's empowerment rather than as a lived and particular perspective. The plot places Radhika among several men, and her choices are narrated as a question of which man will accept her rather than what she wants to build for herself. Her economic independence is treated as a feature that makes her intimidating to potential partners, a pattern that echoes the postfeminist narrative in which successful women must work to make their success palatable (Gill, 2007; McRobbie, 2009). The novel's resolution depends on the reconciliation of Radhika's ambition with an acceptable romantic partner, and marriage is again the narrative's horizon of fulfilment.

The family also acts as an arbiter of her value. Her mother's anxieties, her sister's conventional marriage and the dynamics of the wedding setting illustrate how female status is mediated by marriage. Radhika's resistance to these pressures is genuine but is also contained, since the narrative never allows her to imagine a life organised around something other than a partnership. From the perspective of Butler (1990), Radhika performs femininity in an unusual register, but the performance is constrained by a plot that requires heterosexual union as the proof of her normalcy. The novel therefore dramatises a postfeminist predicament: women may be ambitious and desiring, provided they are eventually reintegrated into a socially legible relationship.

Even so, it would be reductive to dismiss the book. The decision to give a woman the first-person voice, and to let her speak openly about sexuality in a mass-market Indian novel, is not trivial. Many readers who might not encounter feminist theory are introduced to its central questions through such a text. Its contradictions are visible, and visibility is a precondition of critique. Readers can see where the narrative defends and where it undermines its own claims about female autonomy.

Violence, Suffering and the Narrative Uses of Women

A further pattern in Bhagat's fiction concerns the function of female suffering in the plot. *The 3 Mistakes of My Life* (2008), set in Ahmedabad in the period of the 2001 earthquake and the 2002 communal violence, centres on the friendship of Govind, Ish and Omi. Vidya, Ish's sister, is among the most interesting female

characters in the novels because her relationship with Govind transgresses norms of propriety and sexual restraint. Her pregnancy outside marriage, however, becomes the moment at which the plot turns, and the consequences are borne by her far more than by the men involved. The novel is sympathetic to her, but the structure of the story shows how a woman's sexuality becomes the point at which family honour and male responsibility are tested. This is the sense in which Chakravarti (2003) argues that the control of female sexuality underpins the maintenance of social hierarchies.

The Girl in Room 105 (2018) offers a more extreme version. The narrative is a thriller in which the investigation into the disappearance and death of Zara Lone, a Kashmiri Muslim woman, structures the plot. Zara is first presented as a lively, strongly drawn character, and the novel gestures toward the political context of Kashmir and the difficulty of a Hindu-Muslim relationship. Yet her significance in the text is largely determined by her function as the victim whose fate moves the male narrator and drives the plot. The device in which a woman's death or suffering supplies the engine of male action is widely identified in feminist criticism of crime and thriller fiction. It positions the woman as a body to be protected, avenged or mourned, and it limits the narrative attention that her own perspective receives.

This pattern has implications for the stereotype of the woman as victim in need of male rescue. The novels do not, on the whole, glorify violence against women, and they often present it with moral disapproval. But their narrative economy relies on female vulnerability, and it consistently makes male protagonists the agents who respond to it. In deconstructive terms, the binary of protector and protected is stabilised even where the novels condemn the violence that makes protection necessary.

Discussion: Stereotype, Contradiction and Possibility

Across these novels, several stereotypes recur. Women are depicted as emotional arbiters of male development, as bearers of family honour, as figures of beauty whose desirability confers status on male protagonists, and as victims whose suffering demands male response. Men are depicted as providers, rescuers and rebels whose masculinity is validated by success and by the love of a desirable woman. These patterns correspond closely to the structures described by Beauvoir (2011), Millett (1970) and Connell (1995), and they show how the conventions of popular romance and thriller fiction naturalise a gendered division of narrative labour.

A deconstructive reading, however, does not stop at identifying stereotypes. It also notes that Bhagat's texts repeatedly generate characters who exceed the roles assigned to them. Neha's intellectual confidence, Ananya's professional ambition, Riya's reluctance to be defined, Radhika's frank assertion of sexual autonomy and Zara's political and emotional complexity all create friction with their narrative functions. In each case the text's need to resolve this friction through marriage, rescue or sacrifice reveals the instability of the stereotype it relies on. The very effort to contain these women demonstrates that they cannot be wholly contained (Johnson, 1980).

It is also important to situate these contradictions socially. Bhagat writes for readers who are negotiating the same tensions between choice and family authority, ambition and expectation. The novels give those readers a language and a set of scenarios in which such tensions can be recognised. They do not offer a systematic critique of patriarchy, and their treatment of caste, class and religion is uneven, as Chakravarti (2003) and Mohanty (1988) would lead us to expect. Yet they provide a record of how gender anxieties are experienced and narrated in a liberalising India, and they can serve as productive material for feminist pedagogy. Students encountering them can be asked to identify whose perspective governs a scene, which characters are permitted interiority, and what each resolution implies about women's proper place.

Conclusion

This article has argued that Chetan Bhagat's novels occupy an ambivalent position in relation to gender stereotypes. They feature educated, desiring and professionally capable women, and they sometimes expose the pressures of marriage, family and social reputation. Yet they typically filter women through male narration, organise plots around male development, and resolve tension through marriage, rescue or female suffering. Even the female-narrated *One Indian Girl*, which most directly engages feminist themes, ends by reintegrating its heroine into a socially legible partnership, thereby illustrating a postfeminist logic in which independence is acceptable when it is made safe.

At the same time, the novels are not monolithic. They contain characters and moments that resist their own narrative uses, and these moments offer openings for critical reading. The male characters are themselves shaped by stereotypes of provider and protector, and the anxieties of masculinity often drive the plot as much as female desire does. A feminist reading that attends to both sides of this structure can therefore avoid the twin errors of celebrating the novels as progressive and dismissing them as merely patriarchal.

Further research might extend this analysis to Bhagat's more recent fiction, to the film adaptations of his novels, and to empirical studies of how different groups of readers interpret his female characters. Such work would help to determine how far the contradictions identified here are experienced as constraint or as possibility by those who read him. For now, it can be said that Bhagat's fiction deserves serious feminist attention precisely because of its popularity: stereotypes that circulate widely in mass-market fiction shape common sense, and a deconstructive reading is one way of making that common sense visible and open to question.

References

1. Beauvoir, Simone de. The Second Sex. Translated by Constance Borde and Sheila Malovany-Chevallier, Vintage Books, 2011. Originally published in 1949.
2. Bhagat, Chetan. Five Point Someone: What Not to Do at IIT. Rupa Publications, 2004.
3. ---. One Night @ the Call Center. Rupa Publications, 2005.
4. ---. The 3 Mistakes of My Life. Rupa Publications, 2008.
5. ---. 2 States: The Story of My Marriage. Rupa Publications, 2009.
6. ---. Half Girlfriend. Rupa Publications, 2014.
7. ---. One Indian Girl. Rupa Publications, 2016.
8. ---. The Girl in Room 105. Rupa Publications, 2018.

9. Butler, Judith. Gender Trouble: Feminism and the Subversion of Identity. Routledge, 1990.

10. Chakravarti, Uma. Gendering Caste: Through a Feminist Lens. Stree, 2003.

11. Connell, R. W. Masculinities. Polity Press, 1995.

12. Dugaje Manohar. Subverting the Patriarchy: A Feministic Reading of Chetan Bhagat's Novels. International Journal of English Research, Volume 2; Issue 4, Pg. 36-38. July. 2016. https://www.englishjournals.com/archives/2016/2/4/2-4-28

13. Gill, Rosalind. "Postfeminist Media Culture: Elements of a Sensibility." European Journal of Cultural Studies, vol. 10, no. 2, 2007, pp. 147–66.

14. Johnson, Barbara. The Critical Difference: Essays in the Contemporary Rhetoric of Reading. Johns Hopkins University Press, 1980.

15. Kandiyoti, Deniz. "Bargaining with Patriarchy." Gender & Society, vol. 2, no. 3, 1988, pp. 274–90.

16. Lukose, Ritty A. Liberalization's Children: Gender, Youth, and Consumer Citizenship in Globalizing India. Duke University Press, 2009.

17. McRobbie, Angela. The Aftermath of Feminism: Gender, Culture and Social Change. SAGE Publications, 2009.

18. Millett, Kate. Sexual Politics. Doubleday, 1970.

19. Mohanty, Chandra Talpade. "Under Western Eyes: Feminist Scholarship and Colonial Discourses." Feminist Review, no. 30, 1988, pp. 61–88.

20. Mulvey, Laura. "Visual Pleasure and Narrative Cinema." Screen, vol. 16, no. 3, 1975, pp. 6–18.

21. Oza, Rupal. The Making of Neoliberal India: Nationalism, Gender, and the Paradoxes of Globalization. Routledge, 2006.

22. Radway, Janice A. Reading the Romance: Women, Patriarchy, and Popular Literature. University of North Carolina Press, 1984.

23. Spivak, Gayatri Chakravorty. "Can the Subaltern Speak?" Marxism and the Interpretation of Culture, edited by Cary Nelson and Lawrence Grossberg, University of Illinois Press, 1988, pp. 271–313.

24. Uberoi, Patricia. Freedom and Destiny: Gender, Family, and Popular Culture in India. Oxford University Press, 2006.

25. Walby, Sylvia. Theorizing Patriarchy. Blackwell, 1990.