MRS Journal of Arts, Humanities and Literature
ISSN *(Online) 3049-1444*
Vol-3, Iss-10 (October-2026)
Journal homepage: https://mrspublisher.com/journals

Cultural Representation In Media: A Literature Review

Dr. Onwuka Okereke*

Department of Mass Communication, Abia State University, Uturu, Abia State, Nigeria

Received: 02 / 09 / 2026	Accepted: 06 / 10 / 2026	Published: 19 / 10 / 2026

Abstract: Cultural representation in media broadcasting is a crucial topic in communication research, as radio and television significantly shape public perceptions, cultural identities, and social dynamics. This literature review investigates the portrayal of cultural diversity in broadcasting, focusing on ethnicity, language, religion, gender, indigenous cultures, and minority identities. Utilizing academic works and institutional reports from 2018 to 2026, the review addresses various aspects such as representation, stereotyping, media ownership, broadcasting in indigenous languages, local content regulations, and the effects of digital streaming. The findings reveal that merely increasing visibility does not ensure fair representation, as marginalized groups often continue to face stereotyping, underrepresentation, or exclusion from decision-making. The case of Nigerian broadcasting highlights the challenges of balancing cultural preservation, commercial interests, globalization, and national unity. The review points out ongoing methodological and regional gaps, especially regarding audience interpretations and participation of minority cultures. It concludes that fostering inclusive broadcasting necessitates comprehensive policy implementation, culturally aware production, diverse media staff, and systematic evaluation of representation across both traditional and digital mediums.

Keywords: *Cultural Representation, Media Broadcasting, Cultural Diversity, Indigenous Languages, Media Inclusion*.

Cite this article: Okereke, O. (2026). Cultural Representation In Media: A Literature Review. *MRS Journal of Arts, Humanities and Literature, 3(10),36-41.*

Introduction

Broadcasting continues to be a crucial institution for societies, serving as a medium for communicating cultural values, negotiating identities, preserving collective memories, and shaping perceptions of social reality. Through radio and television shows, audiences are exposed to various representations of ethnic groups, religious practices, languages, gender identities, lifestyles, and national histories. While such representations can foster cultural acknowledgment and interethnic understanding, they may also perpetuate stereotypes, reinforce social hierarchies, and marginalize certain communities.

Cultural representation in media goes beyond simple presence; it involves how different groups are depicted, whose viewpoints are deemed authoritative, the languages that are used, and who gets to create and disseminate media narratives. Recent studies, such as those by Scharrer et al. (2022), indicate that media portrayals of marginalized groups can often be both underrepresented and stereotypical. Therefore, evaluating cultural representation requires looking at both visibility and the quality of those representations.

Broadcasting is also influenced by economic, political, and technological factors that shape programming choices. Commercial interests, audience preferences, regulatory obligations, institutional goals, and international media dynamics all determine which cultural stories get highlighted. UNESCO (2022) emphasizes that media diversity encompasses the representation of social groups, the variety of content, pluralism in the media landscape, and opportunities for public participation.

The rise of digital broadcasting and streaming raises further concerns about cultural visibility and access. While digital platforms can enhance the distribution of culturally varied content, they do not inherently resolve issues of representation. Daalmans et al. (2024) explore minority representation in both traditional broadcasting and streaming platforms, emphasizing the need to assess if newer distribution methods provide more equitable representation.

This review synthesizes literature from 2018 to 2026 to highlight critical themes, theoretical approaches, empirical findings, and research gaps related to cultural representation in broadcasting, with particular focus on Nigeria's diverse ethnic and linguistic landscape.

Conceptualizing Cultural Representation in Broadcasting

Cultural representation pertains to how media portray, interpret, and convey the identities, experiences, values, and practices of specific social groups. In broadcasting, this includes the choice of cultural subjects, character development, narrative construction, language use, and opportunities for involvement in media production.

Representation has both quantitative and qualitative aspects. Quantitative representation involves the frequency and visibility of specific groups in various broadcast formats, while qualitative representation examines how these groups are depicted, whether their viewpoints are justly represented, and whether they are shown as complex individuals rather than reduced to stereotypes.

Copyright © 2026 The Author(s): This open-access article is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution–NonCommercial 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0), permitting non-commercial use, sharing, and reproduction with proper credit to the author(s) and source.

Loecherbach et al. (2020) stress the necessity of developing clear conceptual frameworks for media diversity, distinguishing various dimensions of diversity and correlating conceptual definitions with suitable empirical measures. In broadcasting, mere presence of diverse cultural groups does not guarantee equality in their portrayal or impact.

UNESCO (2022) similarly views media diversity as a multifaceted issue that includes content, ownership, participation, and access. Consequently, evaluating cultural representation requires a broader scope that considers not only the frequency of a group's appearance in media but also their ability to communicate in their languages, engage in editorial discussions, and represent their experiences authentically.

Thus, cultural representation is seen as a dynamic process in which broadcasting highlights some identities and experiences while overlooking others. The primary concern is not just the representation of cultural differences, but the accuracy, inclusiveness, and equality of those representations.

Theoretical Perspectives on Cultural Representation

Cultural Studies Perspective

A cultural studies approach serves as a valuable lens for examining the interplay between broadcasting, identity, and power. Here, media content is not viewed as a neutral societal reflection; rather, programs create meanings through their selection of stories, visuals, languages, symbols, and cultural allusions.

This perspective prompts researchers to explore how broadcasting normalizes and elevates certain cultural identities while relegating others to the margins. Such inquiries are relevant across various media forms, including entertainment, news, advertisements, and documentaries.

Scharrer et al. (2022) highlight the need to consider both the representation of minority groups and the nature of their depictions, demonstrating that mere numerical visibility is insufficient for establishing equitable representation.

Representation and Social Learning

Media representation is significant because audiences may rely on recurring portrayals when forming perceptions about social groups with which they have limited direct interaction.

Żerebecki et al. (2021) present a literature review highlighting how television can shape attitudes toward diversity through various social and psychological mechanisms. Positive portrayals can foster acceptance, while negative ones may reinforce biases.

This viewpoint underscores that broadcasters bear responsibilities beyond simply providing entertainment and information; their programming choices influence how audiences perceive cultural differences, especially when specific groups are depicted in negative or narrowly defined ways.

However, media influence is not automatic; audience interpretations vary based on personal experiences, beliefs, relationships, and cultural contexts. Therefore, research should examine both the content broadcasted and how audiences perceive it.

Postcolonial Perspectives

Postcolonial perspectives are particularly pertinent to broadcasting in nations with colonial histories, such as Nigeria. These frameworks address disparities in cultural authority, the global circulation of media, and the historical context of indigenous languages and traditions.

Afolabi (2023) analyzes BBC's indigenous-language broadcasting in Nigeria through a postcolonial and critical cultural lens, raising questions about the interaction between global media institutions, local languages, cultural identity, and historical power dynamics.

This perspective challenges the notion that foreign-produced content is inherently detrimental or that local media is always culturally inclusive. While international broadcasting can enhance access to information in native languages, it also prompts inquiries about content control, cultural authority, and the interplay between global and local narratives.

Postcolonial analysis advocates for studies that investigate who generates cultural content, whose interests it serves, and how historical inequalities shape contemporary media practices.

Cultural Diversity and Minority Representation in Broadcasting

A prevalent theme in the literature is the unequal visibility of various social and cultural groups. Despite media organizations increasingly acknowledging diversity, representation continues to be skewed across genres, platforms, and demographics.

In their review, Scharrer et al. (2022) outline quantitative research on media diversity in the U.S., highlighting ongoing challenges related to the underrepresentation of marginalized groups and their limited portrayals. The authors also stress the importance of considering intersectionality, agency, and vulnerability in evaluating media narratives.

These issues extend beyond the United States. UNESCO (2022) notes persistent difficulties in representing women, ethnic and religious minorities, immigrants, individuals with disabilities, the elderly, and LGBTQ+ communities. Insufficient representation and stereotypical portrayals can constrain the range of identities and narratives available to audiences.

Daalmans et al. (2024) contribute to this discourse by comparing minority representation in traditional broadcasting versus streaming services. Their analysis examines representation across gender, ethnicity, age, and sexual orientation, asserting that technological advancements and increased content availability do not guarantee equitable representation for all groups.

Together, these studies propose that cultural diversity should be measured through multiple indicators, including frequency of appearances, significance of roles, variety of narratives, and the extent to which groups can represent themselves.

Additionally, there is a distinction between visibility and agency. A cultural group could be frequently depicted in media without any meaningful control over their representation. For instance, minority communities may be highlighted only during crises, while their everyday contributions and achievements go unnoticed.

Thus, inclusive broadcasting necessitates not only the increased presence of underrepresented groups but also diverse

narratives, significant participation, and editorial practices that avoid reducing cultural identities to stereotypes.

Indigenous Languages and Cultural Preservation in Nigerian Broadcasting

Indigenous-language broadcasting serves as a vital means of cultural preservation, as language conveys cultural knowledge, collective memory, traditional practices, and community identity.

In multilingual societies, the choice of broadcast language can influence which audiences can access information and which cultural experiences gain visibility in public forums. Programs in indigenous languages can facilitate discussions about local histories, traditional governance, community issues, and cultural celebrations.

Ndubuisi and Nkamigbo (2023) evaluate indigenous-language broadcasting in Nigeria, using a case study of Federal Radio Corporation of Nigeria's Purity FM in Mgbakwu and the Nigeria Television Authority in Aba. Their research highlights obstacles to the prominence of indigenous languages in broadcasting, including poor funding and media practitioners' attitudes.

These findings illustrate the difference between having indigenous-language programming and ensuring its institutional viability. A broadcasting entity may recognize the value of local languages yet still provide them with inadequate airtime, funding, or production support.

Azubuike and Uchegbuo (2022) assess the role of radio broadcasting in preserving the ethnic identity of the Ikwerre people in Nigeria, underscoring the importance of radio in the nexus between media use and ethnic identity retention.

Radio is especially impactful in this context as it can deliver locally relevant programming in native languages. Through interviews, cultural discussions, music, oral histories, and civic programs, radio creates avenues for generational knowledge transmission.

Nevertheless, indigenous-language broadcasting should not be viewed as synonymous with cultural inclusion. Researchers need to explore programming quality, community diversity in representation, and the extent of local involvement in production.

The literature suggests that maintaining indigenous languages requires ongoing institutional support, sufficient production resources, and policies acknowledging linguistic diversity as integral to cultural representation.

Cultural Representation, Globalization, and Local Content

Globalization has broadened the reach of media products across borders, allowing television shows, films, music, and digital content to connect with audiences beyond their places of origin. These shifts provide opportunities for cultural exchange but also prompt questions about the visibility of local versus international cultural products.

Endong (2021) investigates the potential for indigenizing Nigerian media amid cultural globalization. The study critiques Nigeria’s local-content policy, noting challenges such as vague definitions of local content and insufficient support measures.

This argument underscores the limits of merely having regulations without addressing production’s economic and institutional conditions. Local-content requirements can push broadcasters to develop domestic programming, but their success hinges on funding, professional capacity, distribution methods, audience engagement, and clear guidelines.

However, the relationship between global and local media shouldn't be viewed merely as oppositional. Internationally produced content can foster intercultural learning, while locally created programming can adapt foreign influences into new cultural expressions. The key inquiry is whether these exchanges allow different cultural groups to engage meaningfully and maintain their ability to convey their narratives.

Afolabi (2023) adds to this discussion by analyzing BBC’s indigenous-language broadcasting in Nigeria, emphasizing the need to consider local-language accessibility alongside international broadcasting's historical and political contexts.

UNESCO (2022) also highlights the necessity for policies that promote content diversity and enhance the conditions under which varied cultural expressions can flourish.

The literature suggests that effective local-content policies must promote cultural production while treating culture as a dynamic rather than static entity. They should encourage indigenous creativity, linguistic diversity, and provide equitable participation opportunities in broadcasting.

Representation, Audience Attitudes, and Social Inclusion

Cultural representation has the power to shape how audiences view groups and comprehend social relationships. Broadcasting consistently delivers images, narratives, and descriptions that can influence public discourse about cultural diversity.

Żerebecki et al. (2021) review research examining television's impact on attitudes toward ethnic and sexual minorities, revealing that television can either support positive or negative diversity attitudes based on how minorities are portrayed and how audiences interpret these portrayals.

This suggests that outcomes related to representation must be assessed not just by counting minority characters in programming, but also by evaluating the nature of those portrayals. Programs that present minority individuals as multifaceted can yield different interpretive results compared to those that associate minority identities solely with negative traits.

Scharrer et al. (2022) advocate for a more nuanced approach to understanding media representation and its effects, emphasizing the need to consider intersectionality and the contextual subtleties of media content and impact.

These insights advocate for broadcasting efforts to incorporate diverse narratives that show cultural communities in a variety of social roles. For instance, minority communities should not solely be highlighted in contexts of conflict or disadvantage but should also be visible in discussions of education, professional success, artistic endeavors, family life, public leadership, and community development.

Research on audience perspectives is equally vital. Investigating whether audiences see their own identities in broadcast content, view portrayals as fair, and how exposure to specific narratives affects their attitudes toward other cultural groups can provide crucial insights.

Such research can help differentiate between broadcasters' intended messages and the actual interpretations by audiences. It can also inform the design of programming that fosters meaningful intercultural engagement instead of assuming uniform audience responses to media.

Broadcasting, Streaming, and the Changing Media Environment

The rise of streaming services and digital distribution has revolutionized the production, circulation, and consumption of audiovisual content. Audiences now encounter programs from multiple platforms instead of being tied solely to scheduled broadcasts.

This shift presents new avenues for culturally diverse content to reach audiences, making programming available to widely scattered communities and catering to specific linguistic or cultural groups.

However, the growing availability of content does not guarantee equitable representation. The diversity of a platform's offerings, individual program visibility, and content accessibility are separate but crucial considerations.

Daalmans et al. (2024) examine minority representation in both traditional broadcasting and streaming services, providing a foundation for interpreting whether different distribution environments correlate with variations in representation.

Edmond et al. (2024) further discuss screen diversity in the age of streaming, stressing the need to understand the multifaceted nature of diversity across content types, languages, countries of origin, creative labor, and platform interfaces. Their research indicates a need to shift focus beyond merely counting diverse titles to consider production, categorization, and audience discoverability of content.

For broadcasting organizations, these developments imply that cultural inclusion must be addressed across both traditional and digital platforms. Broadcasters must recognize that just because content is available does not ensure that audiences will locate, access, or engage with it.

Future inquiries should explore the interplay between programming choices, distribution models, audience preferences, and the visibility of indigenous and minority cultural content.

Methodological Approaches in Existing Literature

The literature encompasses various methodological approaches, each contributing unique forms of evidence.

First, quantitative content analysis can reveal representation patterns by measuring the frequency of appearances, speaking roles, language usage, program genres, and character traits. Scharrer et al. (2022) illustrate the advantage of synthesizing quantitative findings to map broader trends across studies.

Second, systematic and narrative literature reviews allow for integrating insights across disciplines. Loecherbach et al. (2020) exemplify how a systematic review can clarify different definitions and measures of media diversity, while Żerebecki et al. (2021) combine theoretical and empirical work to elucidate television's influence on diversity attitudes.

Third, qualitative methods can unpack how cultural meanings are crafted within programs and how audiences interpret these meanings. Techniques like interviews, focus groups, textual analysis, and ethnographic studies can uncover representation aspects that numbers alone may overlook.

Fourth, comparative research can investigate disparities among broadcasters, countries, languages, program types, and distribution methods. Daalmans et al. (2024) exemplify this through their comparative analysis of conventional broadcasting and streaming television.

Despite these contributions, the literature exhibits uneven geographical focus and analytical priorities. Edwards and Moss (2024) report that existing research on UK public service television disproportionately concentrates on on-screen representation rather than onscreen, production, and audience reception.

This observation highlights the need for research that intertwines three key areas: the nature of representation, how broadcasting institutions generate representation, and how audiences understand it.

A more integrative methodology would couple quantitative content analysis with interviews involving broadcasters, producers, and members of underrepresented groups. Audience research could help ascertain whether observed media content patterns align with audience experiences and perceptions.

Gaps in the Literature

While research on cultural representation has grown, several gaps persist.

Limited Geographical Coverage

A significant portion of well-cited research focuses on Western media contexts. For instance, Scharrer et al. (2022) examine the U.S., while Edwards and Moss (2024) analyze UK public service television.

Although these studies offer valuable conceptual and methodological insights, their conclusions cannot be directly generalized to African broadcasting settings. More comparative studies are essential to explore how cultural representation varies across different political, linguistic, economic, and regulatory frameworks.

Insufficient Attention to Minority Languages

Although existing Nigerian studies address indigenous-language broadcasting, further research is needed on the consistency of minority languages' visibility across program formats and broadcasting entities.

Research should differentiate between major indigenous languages and those spoken by smaller communities, also examining whether programs in these languages have adequate airtime, funding, and institutional backing for sustainability.

Limited Integration of Production and Reception

Edwards and Moss (2024) note an imbalance in research on UK public service television, which focuses more on on-screen diversity than the production context and audience reception.

This imbalance suggests future studies should explore the dynamics between newsroom composition, production team diversity, editorial choices, program substance, and audience interpretations.

Inadequate Exploration of Intersectionality

Cultural identities are multifaceted. A person may belong simultaneously to specific ethnic, linguistic, religious, gender, age, or disability groups. Examining these categories separately can overlook the unique experiences of individuals with intersecting identities.

Scharrer et al. (2022) recognize the significance of intersectionality as an area for future media representation research. Broadcasting studies should analyze how intersecting identities impact visibility, characterization, voice opportunities, and access to production roles.

Emerging Questions About Digital Distribution

Digital streaming presents opportunities for culturally specific programming, but significant questions linger regarding discoverability, accessibility, and the allocation of production resources.

Edmond et al. (2024) emphasize the need to investigate diversity across screen content, creative labor, and platform interfaces, calling for further research into the visibility of indigenous-language and minority-cultural programs across digital platforms.

Limited Evaluation of Policy Implementation

The mere existence of diversity policies or local-content requirements does not guarantee their success. Endong (2021) points out implementation challenges associated with Nigeria's efforts to indigenize media.

Additional research is necessary to assess how broadcasting regulations are enforced, how compliance is measured, and whether policies yield lasting improvements in cultural representation.

Recommendations for Inclusive Broadcasting

The reviewed literature endorses several practical measures.

First, broadcasters should implement measurable representation policies identifying underrepresented cultural and linguistic groups and establishing monitoring processes for their inclusion across various program genres.

Second, indigenous-language programming should receive ongoing institutional support. Broadcasters must allocate sufficient airtime, production finances, and professional resources to programs in indigenous and minority languages, extending this support beyond occasional cultural highlights.

Third, production teams should mirror cultural diversity. Hiring individuals from various cultural and linguistic backgrounds can enhance the range of perspectives involved in program design, editorial planning, and production processes.

Fourth, broadcasters should focus on nuanced portrayals. Minority communities ought to be depicted through diverse stories that reflect their histories, contributions, everyday lives, and internal complexities, avoiding reliance on stereotypes.

Fifth, regulatory policies must be accompanied by effective implementation mechanisms. Local-content mandates should be matched with clear standards, proper oversight, and strategies that foster enduring domestic production.

Sixth, audience engagement should inform programming. Broadcasters should consult the communities they represent, establishing systems for feedback regarding cultural accuracy, accessibility, and fairness.

Finally, representation should be assessed across all platforms. Evaluations should encompass traditional radio and television along with digital and streaming services, recognizing that content's availability and visibility may vary across different distribution methods.

These recommendations align with the literature's emphasis on multidimensional diversity, inclusive production practices, audience involvement, and the necessity of assessing representation in relation to its broader institutional context.

Conclusion

This literature review has examined cultural representation in broadcasting based on scholarly articles published between 2018 and 2026. The findings underscore the significant role broadcasting plays in shaping cultural visibility, communicating social identities, and influencing perceptions of diversity. However, the mere presence of diverse groups in media content does not guarantee equitable representation. Equal importance lies in the quality of portrayals, opportunities for production, language choices, and the distribution of editorial power.

Research by Scharrer et al. (2022), Żerebecki et al. (2021), and Daalmans et al. (2024) highlights the ongoing relevance of scrutinizing minority representation and its potential impacts on audience attitudes. Studies focused on Nigerian broadcasting further illuminate the critical roles of indigenous languages, local-content policies, and the link between cultural preservation and global media influences.

The literature also identifies gaps in geographical focus, audience research, intersectional analysis, and policy evaluation. Addressing these gaps necessitates methodological frameworks that integrate content analysis, production studies, audience research, and comparative analysis.

Ultimately, achieving culturally inclusive broadcasting involves more than occasionally including diverse cultural groups. It requires sustained commitment from institutions to ensure fair representation, meaningful participation, linguistic diversity, and culturally responsible storytelling. Such practices can enhance broadcasting's capacity to promote cultural recognition, foster intercultural dialogue, and facilitate inclusive public communication.

References

1. Afolabi, O. (2023). Rebuilding old empire: BBC and indigenous language broadcasting in Nigeria. *Cogent Arts & Humanities*, *10*(2), Article 2283950. https://doi.org/10.1080/23311983.2023.2283950

2. Azubuike, C., & Uchegbuo, T. (2022). Effectiveness of radio broadcasting on the preservation of ethnic identity of the Ikwerre people in Nigeria. *Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies*, *5*(3), 315–324. https://journalajl2c.com/index.php/AJL2C/article/view/121

3. Daalmans, S., Haverkort, R., & Kleemans, M. (2024). Streaming with more diversity? A comparison of the representation of minorities in broadcasting versus streaming television content. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications, 11*, Article 929. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-024-03442-2

4. Edmond, M., Khoo, O., Perkins, C., & Trott, V. (2024). Streaming diversity: Studying screen diversity in the streaming era. *Convergence, 30*(4). https://doi.org/10.1177/13548565241270887

5. Endong, F. P. C. (2021). Probing the feasibility of the indigenisation of Nigerian media in an era of cultural globalisation: A study of Nigerian radio and TV producers. *Beykent University Journal of Social Sciences, 14*(1). https://dergipark.org.tr/en/pub/bujss/article/777426

6. Loecherbach, F., Moeller, J., Trilling, D., & van Atteveldt, W. (2020). The unified framework of media diversity: A systematic literature review. *Digital Journalism, 8*(5), 605–642. https://doi.org/10.1080/21670811.2020.1764374

7. Ndubuisi, I. E., & Nkamigbo, L. C. (2023). Broadcasting in Nigeria's indigenous languages: A case study of Federal Radio Corporation of Nigeria (Purity FM, Mgbakwu) and Nigeria Television Authority (NTA, Aba). *Awka Journal of Linguistics and Languages, 15*. https://nigerianjournalsonline.org/index.php/AJILL/article/view/1545

8. Scharrer, E., Ramasubramanian, S., & Banjo, O. (2022). Media, diversity, and representation in the U.S.: A review of the quantitative research literature on media content and effects. *Journal of Broadcasting & Electronic Media, 66*(4), 723–749. https://doi.org/10.1080/08838151.2022.2138890

9. UNESCO. (2022). *Re|Shaping policies for creativity: Addressing culture as a global public good*. https://www.unesco.org/creativity/sites/default/files/medias/fichiers/2023/01/380474eng.pdf

10. Żerebecki, B. G., Opree, S. J., Hofhuis, J., & Janssen, S. (2021). Can TV shows promote acceptance of sexual and ethnic minorities? A literature review of television effects on diversity attitudes. *Sociology Compass, 15*(8), Article e12906. https://doi.org/10.1111/soc4.12906 :::