ZERO TOLERANCE FOR CORRUPTION, BRIBERY AND EXTORTION IN SOUTH AFRICA: ASSESSING POLICY COMMITMENTS, ENFORCEMENT PRACTICES AND INSTITUTIONAL EFFECTIVENESS

Dr. John Motsamai Modise[*]

[*]Tshwane University of Technology

Corresponding Author: **Dr. John Motsamai Modise** *(Tshwane University of Technology)*

Abstract: Corruption, bribery, and extortion remain major barriers to governance, socio-economic development, and public trust in South Africa. Despite a strong legislative framework and a declared zero-tolerance policy, enforcement gaps, institutional weaknesses, and political interference persist. This article explores the prevalence, causes, and impacts of these crimes, drawing on practical examples such as the Mthatha High Court bribery scandal, corruption in school governing bodies, and high-profile whistle-blower cases like Babita Deokaran. The study integrates both South African and international perspectives to analyze policy effectiveness, institutional capacity, and civil society engagement. It concludes with practical recommendations to strengthen anti-corruption efforts and foster transparent, accountable governance. To examine the prevalence and impact of corruption, bribery, and extortion in South Africa. To evaluate the effectiveness of the zero-tolerance policy in reducing corruption. To provide actionable recommendations for improving governance, accountability, and public trust. Despite South Africa's legislative frameworks, such as the Prevention and Combating of Corrupt Activities Act (PRECCA), and high-level rhetoric about zero tolerance, corruption, bribery, and extortion persist across public and private sectors. Institutional inefficiencies, political interference, and threats to whistle-blowers undermine enforcement. High-profile cases like Nosiviwe Mapisa-Nqakula and Babita Deokaran exemplify these challenges, highlighting a gap between policy commitments and practical outcomes. Design: Qualitative research integrating a literature review and case study analysis. Data Sources: Recent South African media, government reports, NGO records (e.g., Corruption Watch), and international sources (e.g., Transparency International, OECD, World Bank). Analysis: Thematic analysis to identify patterns of corruption, enforcement challenges, and institutional gaps. Rationale: Allows exploration of systemic issues and practical enforcement outcomes in South Africa, supported by international comparisons. Systemic Corruption: Bribery and extortion occur at both elite and grassroots levels (e.g., Mthatha High Court, school governing bodies). Enforcement Gaps: Zero-tolerance policies are inconsistently applied, with political interference and institutional weaknesses limiting effectiveness. High-Profile Cases Influence Public Perception: Whistle-blower threats and slow prosecution of elite officials reduce credibility. Link with Organised Crime: Corruption facilitates extortion and criminal networks, threatening service delivery and economic development. Civil Society as a Critical Actor: NGOs and media play an essential role in exposing corruption and holding institutions accountable. South Africa's zero-tolerance policy demonstrates a strong commitment to combating corruption, bribery, and extortion. However, enforcement gaps, weak institutional capacity, and risks to whistle-blowers hinder its effectiveness. A multi-faceted approach combining stronger institutional independence, legal enforcement, civil society engagement, and international cooperation is essential to reduce corruption and restore public trust. Implementing these strategies can enhance transparency, accountability, and socio-economic development across the nation.

Keywords: *Corruption, Bribery, Extortion, Zero-tolerance policy, South Africa, Governance, Anti-corruption enforcement.*

Introduction

Corruption, bribery and extortion seriously undermine governance, economic growth and social development by eroding public trust, distorting markets and weakening institutions. In South Africa, these crimes are not only persistent but have become deeply entrenched across multiple sectors of society, reflecting both systemic governance weaknesses and the complexity of enforcing anti-corruption norms. Recent data shows that over 46,000 corruption complaints were submitted to Corruption Watch over thirteen years, with bribery and extortion accounting for a significant portion of reported cases, underscoring the persistent nature of illicit practices in the public sector.

These dynamics have prompted the South African government to adopt a "zero-tolerance" policy, asserting that corruption, bribery and extortion will not be tolerated and must be met with decisive legal and disciplinary action. Recent dismissals of corrupt officials in the Department of Home Affairs, where dozens of employees have been fired or prosecuted for fraud and corruption, demonstrate efforts to enforce this stance. Similarly,

high-profile corruption investigations such as those involving senior police officers allegedly accepting bribes from civilians—illustrate ongoing enforcement actions tied to zero-tolerance rhetoric.

Moreover, political leadership has publicly reaffirmed South Africa's commitment to combating corruption. In February 2026, President Cyril Ramaphosa outlined an integrated plan to overhaul the criminal justice system and strengthen anti-corruption enforcement following allegations of corruption and interference within law enforcement agencies. This plan includes expanding investigative capacity, improving whistle-blower protections, and emphasising that "zero tolerance" must translate into real institutional change.

Despite these efforts, corruption persists in forms that include bribery, extortion and organised crime. KwaZulu-Natal construction projects, for example, have experienced intensive mafia-style extortion, leading to significant disruptions in infrastructure development and loss of business confidence. Public opinion surveys also report that many South Africans witness corrupt behaviour in everyday settings, with the majority indicating that they have encountered or observed bribery or misuse of public funds. In parallel, numerous corruption investigations involving senior political figures such as the ongoing prosecution of a former National Assembly speaker on multiple corruption and bribery charges reveal the persistence of elite corruption.

South Africa's zero-tolerance policy exists against a broader global context in which corruption, bribery and extortion remain major challenges, requiring international cooperation and robust legal frameworks. The OECD Anti-Bribery Convention commits participating states, including South Africa, to criminalise and actively prosecute bribery of foreign public officials, thereby promoting integrity in international business transactions. Global initiatives such as the International Anti-Corruption Prosecutorial Taskforce—established by the UK, France and Switzerland highlight international efforts to enhance cooperation in bribery and corruption investigations across jurisdictions.

Other international mechanisms supporting anti-corruption efforts include organisations like the Basel Institute on Governance, which provides research, policy guidance and practical tools for preventing corruption worldwide; and the International Association of Anti-Corruption Authorities (IAACA), which fosters cooperation among anti-corruption agencies from over 190 jurisdictions to effectively implement the UN Convention against Corruption (UNCAC). Moreover, global networks such as the Global Law Enforcement Network against Transnational Bribery (GLEN) serve as platforms for law enforcement collaboration to tackle complex cross-border bribery schemes.

Academic research also emphasises the interplay between corruption and economic performance globally, illustrating how entrenched corrupt practices undermine investment, distort markets, and hinder sustainable development. Together, these international insights and global mechanisms underscore the importance of sustained political will, strong institutions and cross-border cooperation to make zero-tolerance policies effective not only in South Africa but around the world.

In sum, while South Africa has articulated a robust stance against corruption, bribery and extortion and has taken notable enforcement actions, persistent challenges including organized criminal influence, administrative corruption, and enforcement gaps highlight the need for strengthened institutional capacity, enhanced accountability and greater alignment with global anti-corruption best practices to ensure that zero tolerance is not only rhetorical but operationally effective.

Background

Corruption, bribery and extortion are pervasive challenges that significantly undermine governance, economic performance, public trust and social equity both within South Africa and globally. In South Africa, these illicit behaviours have been found across government departments, public service bodies, and community structures, affecting everything from service delivery to law enforcement credibility. The persistence of these issues has necessitated a firm commitment to a zero-tolerance policy, which is reflected in government reform efforts, legislative responses and institutional accountability mechanisms. However, despite strong anti-corruption rhetoric, real-world enforcement and outcomes remain contested, revealing deep-rooted structural and operational challenges within the country's governance systems.

At the domestic level, corruption and extortion remain systemic within several sectors. Investigative reporting has revealed that judicial officials at the Mthatha High Court solicited bribes to process court matters, demonstrating endemic corruption at foundational levels of justice administration. Corruption Watch previously documented nearly 3,500 allegations against school governing bodies, where theft, extortion and financial mismanagement were commonplace, indicating how corruption affects basic public services. Public sector integrity is a focal point of parliamentary concern, with the Portfolio Committee on Public Service and Administration urging stronger anti-corruption efforts to uphold ethical conduct and improve accountability.

High-level political corruption has also been visible. In the case of Nosiviwe Mapisa-Nqakula, a former Speaker of the National Assembly, criminal charges were brought for alleged bribery and corruption, illustrating that even senior leaders can be subject to corruption investigations. Similarly, the Murder of Babita Deokaran, a whistleblower who exposed deep procurement corruption in the Gauteng Department of Health, highlights not only the presence of corruption but also the risks associated with reporting it. In parallel, organised crime structures have entrenched extortion rackets that affect businesses and infrastructure projects, with criminal networks demanding "fees" that undermine competitiveness and economic productivity.

Recognising these challenges, the South African government has reiterated its commitment to a zero-tolerance approach through public statements and policy action. The Department of Home Affairs has dismissed dozens of officials for corruption and fraud, prosecuted several others, and strengthened inter-agency coordination to tackle internal corruption. More recently, President Cyril Ramaphosa announced comprehensive plans to address corruption within the criminal justice system, including enhancing anti-corruption institutions, strengthening enforcement bodies such as the Special Investigating Unit (SIU) and the Hawks, and prioritising whistle-blower protections under new legislation.

Despite these efforts, South Africa's performance on global governance indicators shows persistent weakness. The country was ranked 82nd out of 180 countries on the 2025 Corruption Perceptions Index, with scores reflecting bribery, misuse of public funds, and insufficient protection for whistle-blowers. Research

examining public sector accountability further emphasises that while anti-corruption laws exist, consistent enforcement and genuine accountability remain lacking, often due to political interference and resource constraints.

South Africa's experience mirrors broader international concerns about corruption control. Globally, anti-corruption efforts are framed by international treaties such as the United Nations Convention against Corruption (UNCAC), which obliges states to implement preventive, punitive and cooperative measures against corruption, bribery and related crimes. Similarly, the OECD Framework on Combating Bribery of Foreign Public Officials criminalises the offering and receiving of bribes in international business transactions and guides countries on establishing comprehensive anti-bribery laws. The UN Global Compact's anti-corruption principles additionally challenge businesses to adopt zero-tolerance policies towards corruption and extortion in corporate conduct.

In practice, successful anti-corruption systems emphasise structured legal frameworks, institutional independence, transparency and inter-state cooperation. For example, transparency and compliance networks such as Transparency International advocate for robust internal controls, ethical compliance programs and civil society monitoring to support enforcement. On the legislative front, the United States' Foreign Extortion Prevention Act (2023) represents a novel international effort to criminalise the demand side of bribery, expanding the global toolkit to combat extortion practices by foreign officials. These global mechanisms stress prevention, prosecution and recovery of illicit proceeds, reinforcing the idea that integrated enforcement approaches are essential to zero-tolerance policies.

Together, these South African developments and international frameworks illustrate both the complexity of corruption challenges and the multi-layered efforts to counter them. While South Africa's zero-tolerance stance reflects a strong normative commitment to integrity and accountability, the persistence of bribery, extortion and maladministration highlights the ongoing need for strengthened enforcement, institutional independence, civil society participation, and alignment with international anti-corruption norms to make zero tolerance operational and effective.

Problem Statement

Corruption, bribery, and extortion continue to significantly hinder South Africa's democratic governance, economic development, public service delivery, and institutional legitimacy despite formal commitments to a zero-tolerance policy. While legislative frameworks such as the Prevention and Combating of Corrupt Activities Act (PRECCA) and national strategies exist to deter and punish corrupt conduct, practical enforcement remains uneven and often fails to translate into consistent accountability. Persistent corruption at multiple levels of government, widespread bribery involving both public officials and private citizens, and the persistence of extortion rackets demonstrate that South Africa's anti-corruption system faces operational and structural weaknesses.

For example, in 2025 alone, several Mthatha High Court officials were reportedly soliciting bribes to process court matters, reflecting corruption entrenched within the justice system itself. (dailymaverick.co.za) Similarly, Corruption Watch documented thousands of corruption complaints involving bribery and extortion, particularly in sectors such as education and municipal

administration, highlighting broad societal vulnerability to corrupt practices. (businesstech.co.za) Reports of school governing bodies being plagued by mismanagement, theft, bribery and extortion further indicate that corruption permeates even grassroots governance structures. (ewn.co.za)

Notably, senior figures have faced corruption allegations, as evidenced by the criminal charges brought against former National Assembly Speaker Nosiviwe Mapisa-Nqakula for bribery and corruption, suggesting that political elites are also implicated in systemic corruption (en.wikipedia.org). The high-profile murder of whistle-blower Babita Deokaran, who was actively exposing procurement corruption, illustrates both the severity of corruption and the risks faced by those challenging it. (en.wikipedia.org). Even government enforcement efforts expose limitations; despite rhetoric on zero tolerance, South Africa's ranking on the 2025 Corruption Perceptions Index remained mediocre (82nd out of 180 countries), indicating ongoing public perception that corruption persists. (timeslive.co.za)

Extortion linked to organised criminal networks has also intensified. For example, the 2025 Organised Crime Index classified South Africa as a hotspot for criminal networks engaged in extortion, racketeering, and other corruption-linked activities, demonstrating the interplay between organised crime and corruption (mg.co.za). Regional law enforcement agencies acknowledge that extortion networks disrupt infrastructure projects and intimidate business owners, resulting in significant economic losses.

These South African challenges are mirrored in global governance concerns. The United Nations Convention against Corruption (UNCAC) reveals that many countries, like South Africa, struggle to fully implement preventive and punitive anti-corruption measures, particularly in protecting whistle-blowers and controlling bribery in public procurement (en.wikipedia.org). The OECD Anti-Bribery Convention highlights that foreign bribery remains difficult to prosecute worldwide, with varying enforcement commitments across signatory states (oecd.org). Similarly, global studies show that weak institutional accountability increases opportunities for corrupt practices, with Transparency International's Corruption Perceptions Index revealing that anti-corruption efforts have stalled or regressed in many democratic states (transparency.org).

Additionally, research on public sector governance indicates that inadequate enforcement, limited judicial independence, and political interference are common barriers to effective anti-corruption outcomes, extending beyond South Africa's borders. Studies of public integrity systems emphasise that without strong oversight bodies and protected reporting mechanisms, zero-tolerance rhetoric often fails to become operational (link.springer.com). Internationally, extortion also features prominently in law enforcement priorities, with the U.S. Foreign Extortion Prevention Act (2023) introduced to criminalise extortion demanded by foreign officials and strengthen anti-bribery enforcement abroad (en.wikipedia.org).

Corporate governance research further highlights that corruption and bribery reduce foreign direct investment, undermine private sector growth, and distort international trade reinforcing the need for countries to adopt and enforce clear zero-tolerance policies and compliance regimes. (cambridge.org) In the context of global crime networks, collaborative law enforcement mechanisms

such as the International Anti-Corruption Prosecutorial Taskforce have emerged to support cross-border investigations of bribery, corruption, and money laundering, signalling increasing recognition that effective anti-corruption enforcement must be both domestic and international (wsj.com).

Taken together, these South African and international developments reveal a clear problem: although South Africa's zero-tolerance policy sets a normative standard against corruption, bribery and extortion, the operationalisation of zero tolerance is inconsistent, and enforcement mechanisms are insufficient to produce sustained reductions in corrupt conduct. Structural constraints, weak institutional capacity, and ongoing extortion networks demonstrate that anti-corruption rhetoric must be matched by strengthened accountability systems, protected whistle-blower mechanisms, consistent prosecution, and alignment with international best practices if zero tolerance is to become an effective reality.

Aim of the Study

The aim of this study is to critically examine the effectiveness of South Africa's "zero tolerance" policy on corruption, bribery, and extortion by evaluating how policy frameworks, institutional responses, enforcement mechanisms, and practical outcomes align with the stated commitment to eradicate these crimes. The study seeks to assess whether zero-tolerance rhetoric has been operationalised through measurable actions, sustained enforcement, consistent prosecution, victim protection and accountability outcomes, and to identify the factors that facilitate or undermine its implementation in South Africa's unique political, social, and economic context. Specifically, the study will assess institutional capacity, judicial effectiveness, political influence, anti-corruption initiatives, and practical enforcement examples to determine how well zero tolerance functions as a deterrent and corrective mechanism.

South Africa's experience with corruption is illustrated by a range of recent examples. Investigations at the Mthatha High Court revealed that court officials solicited bribes to expedite judicial processes, reflecting enforcement challenges within the justice system despite anti-corruption mandates (dailymaverick.co.za)School governing bodies have also faced allegations of bribery, theft and extortion, demonstrating that corruption is not confined to high-level politics but pervades community governance structures. (ewn.co.za). At the same time, public service oversight forums like the Parliamentary Portfolio Committee on Public Service and Administration have called for stronger ethical enforcement and accountability measures, indicating recognition of systemic enforcement gaps at the national level (parliament.gov.za).

High-profile political figures have also been implicated in bribery cases, such as the criminal charges against former National Assembly Speaker Nosiviwe Mapisa-Nqakula, which underscore the persistence of elite corruption despite anti-corruption commitments (en.wikipedia.org). The tragic murder of whistle-blower Babita Deokaran, who had uncovered procurement irregularities in the Gauteng Department of Health, further highlights how entrenched corruption can be and the risks faced by those exposing it (en.wikipedia.org). Moreover, organised crime networks engaged in extortion and racketeering continue to undermine infrastructure projects and business confidence, revealing the need for comprehensive enforcement beyond

traditional anti-corruption agencies (mg.co.za). These examples illustrate that while zero-tolerance policies are politically and legally articulated, their practical enforcement and outcomes vary widely in real-world contexts.

South Africa's situation is mirrored in international experience. The United Nations Convention against Corruption (UNCAC) provides a global framework obligating states to adopt preventive, punitive and cooperative measures against corruption, but many signatory countries struggle with enforcement consistency (en.wikipedia.org). The OECD Anti-Bribery Convention highlights the global challenge of prosecuting foreign bribery, with many member states still failing to secure significant convictions (oecd.org). International research demonstrates that weak institutional capacity and political interference impede anti-corruption outcomes, resonating with observed South African enforcement constraints (link.springer.com).

Similarly, Transparency International's Corruption Perceptions Index highlights global governance weaknesses and the slow progress in reducing corruption perception across many countries, underscoring how challenges in South Africa reflect broader international patterns (transparency.org). Multilateral organisations like the World Bank emphasise that corruption negatively impacts public investment and economic growth, reinforcing the urgency of effective zero-tolerance enforcement (worldbank.org). The International Monetary Fund (IMF) also recognises corruption as a financial risk that can weaken economic stability, especially in emerging markets similar to South Africa. (imf.org) In the corporate sphere, global compliance standards such as the U.S. Foreign Extortion Prevention Act (2023) demonstrate evolving international responses to bribery and extortion beyond national borders (en.wikipedia.org). Furthermore, international corporate governance frameworks such as the UK Bribery Act 2010 influence global anti-corruption practices by imposing strict liabilities on multinational enterprises and encouraging robust compliance mechanisms (legislation.gov.uk).

In synthesising South African and international evidence, this study aims to provide an integrated assessment of how zero-tolerance policies are implemented in practice, the obstacles they encounter, and the measurable outcomes they produce. By analysing practical examples, institutional responses, policy gaps and international comparative perspectives, the research will contribute to understanding how South Africa can strengthen its enforcement architecture and align domestic anti-corruption efforts with global best practices.

Study Objectives and Research Questions

The following objectives and research questions are interlinked and grounded in seven recent South African sources and seven international sources, incorporating practical examples related to corruption, bribery, and extortion and the implementation of the "zero tolerance" policy in South Africa.

Study Objectives

To critically examine the scope and nature of corruption, bribery, and extortion in South Africa.

Corruption at multiple levels of government and society persists, as illustrated by Mthatha High Court officials soliciting bribes to expedite judicial processes, showing how corruption can become entrenched in justice administration (dailymaverick.co.za).

To analyse South Africa's zero-tolerance anti-corruption policy framework and related legislation.

The South African government's dismissal and prosecution of corrupt Home Affairs officials reflects how zero-tolerance policies are operationalised through internal disciplinary measures (gov.za).

To evaluate institutional capacity and enforcement mechanisms against corruption, bribery, and extortion.

Despite policy commitments, South Africa ranks 82nd on the 2025 Corruption Perceptions Index, indicating gaps in enforcement consistency and public trust (timeslive.co.za).

To assess the impact of high-profile cases on public perceptions and confidence in zero-tolerance enforcement.

High-level corruption and bribery cases such as the criminal charges against former National Assembly Speaker Nosiviwe Mapisa-Nqakula show the political stakes of enforcement outcomes (en.wikipedia.org).

To identify challenges and barriers to effective implementation of zero-tolerance policies in South Africa.

The murder of whistle-blower Babita Deokaran, who exposed procurement corruption, highlights threats facing individuals reporting corrupt conduct (en.wikipedia.org).

To explore the role of civil society and oversight mechanisms in reinforcing zero-tolerance efforts.

Corruption Watch's reporting on corruption and extortion in school governing bodies demonstrates the role of civic monitoring in holding institutions accountable (ewn.co.za).

To compare South Africa's zero-tolerance approach with international anti-corruption frameworks and best practices.

Global standards like the United Nations Convention against Corruption (UNCAC) provide comparative benchmarks for evaluating South African anti-corruption performance (en.wikipedia.org).

Research Questions

RQ1: What are the predominant forms and patterns of corruption, bribery, and extortion in South Africa?

Links between Objectives and Research Questions

This question explores how corruption manifests in institutions such as the justice system (e.g., high court bribery) and community governance (e.g., school governing bodies).

RQ2: How effective is South Africa's zero-tolerance policy in preventing and combating corruption, bribery, and extortion?

The policy's effectiveness is assessed against actions such as dismissals and prosecutions in Home Affairs and enforcement outcomes in anti-corruption indices.

RQ3: What institutional and legal mechanisms support the enforcement of zero-tolerance policies in South Africa?

This involves evaluating frameworks such as PRECCA and investigative bodies, against public perceptions of enforcement gaps.

RQ4: How do enforcement outcomes in high-profile corruption cases influence public confidence in the zero-tolerance policy?

Examples such as criminal charges against political figures and the murder of whistle-blowers reveal the tensions between enforcement and public trust.

RQ5: What are the main challenges and barriers to effective implementation of zero-tolerance anti-corruption strategies in South Africa?

Threats to whistle-blowers, political interference, and resource constraints are central to this question.

RQ6: What role do civil society organisations and oversight bodies play in reinforcing zero-tolerance measures?

The role of organisations like Corruption Watch and parliamentary oversight committees are examined here.

RQ7: How does South Africa's approach to corruption, bribery, and extortion compare with international anti-corruption frameworks and practices?

International frameworks such as the UNCAC and OECD Anti-Bribery Convention offer comparative insights into best practices and areas for improvement.

Objective	Related Research Question(s)
To examine corruption patterns	RQ1
To analyse policy frameworks	RQ2 & RQ3
To evaluate enforcement mechanisms	RQ3 & RQ4
To assess impacts on public trust	RQ4
To identify implementation challenges	RQ5
To explore civil society roles	RQ6
To compare with international standards	RQ7

Theoretical Framework of the Study

This study is grounded in three key theoretical perspectives—Good Governance Theory, Deterrence Theory, and Institutional Theory which collectively provide a robust conceptual basis for understanding the persistence of corruption, bribery, and extortion in South Africa, and the challenges associated with implementing a "zero tolerance" policy. These theories help explain why corruption persists despite formal commitments, identify the mechanisms through which anti-corruption policies operate, and offer a basis for analysing how institutional structures, enforcement practices, and external influences shape outcomes.

Good Governance Theory

Good Governance Theory posits that effective governance is characterised by transparency, accountability, rule of law, responsiveness, and participation. Corruption—whether through bribery or extortion—violates these principles by weakening oversight, distorting public policy priorities, and eroding citizen trust. From this perspective, a zero-tolerance policy should be more than rhetoric; it should manifest in open systems, accountable institutions, active civil society oversight, and robust enforcement.

Practical South African Examples:

➢ **Parliamentary Portfolio Committee Calls:** The South African Parliament's Public Service and Administration Committee has repeatedly emphasised the need for stronger ethical enforcement and transparency in public service to counter corruption and extortion. (parliament.gov.za)

➢ **School Governance Corruption:** Widespread misconduct in school governing bodies reflects a lack of transparency and accountability at local governance levels, undermining service delivery. (ewn.co.za)

International Correlations:

➢ Transparency International's global advocacy emphasises good governance principles to reduce corruption, highlighting how poor governance frameworks correlate with higher corruption levels worldwide. (transparency.org)

➢ The **UN Convention against Corruption (UNCAC)** sets standards for public sector transparency and accountability across signatory states. (en.wikipedia.org)

Good Governance Theory thus explains how governance deficits, such as weak oversight and limited institutional transparency, contribute to corruption's persistence even under zero-tolerance policies.

Deterrence Theory

Deterrence Theory argues that individuals and organisations will avoid committing crimes if the expected costs (likelihood of detection and severity of punishment) outweigh the benefits. In the context of corruption, a zero-tolerance strategy relies on credible threats of detection and punishment to deter bribery and extortion.

Practical South African Examples:

➢ **Dismissals at the Department of Home Affairs:** The firing and prosecution of corrupt officials in Home Affairs serve as punishment mechanisms aimed at deterring other officials from engaging in corrupt behaviour. (gov.za)

➢ **High Court Bribery Cases:** Publicised corruption cases involving court officials soliciting bribes are subject to law enforcement crackdowns, intended to increase the perceived risk of engaging in bribery. (dailymaverick.co.za)

International Correlations:

➢ The **OECD Anti-Bribery Convention** requires rigorous enforcement of anti-bribery laws to ensure consequences for foreign bribery, a core deterrent mechanism. (oecd.org)

➢ The introduction of the **U.S. Foreign Extortion Prevention Act** (2023) expands deterrence by criminalising demands for bribes by foreign officials, signalling rising expectations for punitive measures internationally. (en.wikipedia.org)

Deterrence Theory highlights the need for both **certainty of punishment** and **swift enforcement** in order for a zero-tolerance policy to meaningfully deter corrupt behaviour.

Institutional Theory

Institutional Theory focuses on how the structures, norms, and cultures within organisations and societies shape behaviour. According to this theory, anti-corruption effectiveness depends on institutional capacity, independence, norms of accountability, and resistance to political interference.

Practical South African Examples:

➢ **Corruption Perceptions Index Ranking:** South Africa's position at **82nd out of 180 countries** in the 2025 Corruption Perceptions Index reflects institutional challenges in enforcing anti-corruption measures consistently. (timeslive.co.za)

➢ **Organised Crime Extortion Networks:** Embedded extortion rackets involving organised criminal networks demonstrate how institutional weaknesses and enforcement gaps allow corrupt practices to flourish outside formal governance frameworks. (mg.co.za)

International Correlations:

➢ Research on **public sector governance models** indicates that weak institutional frameworks (e.g., limited judicial independence, inadequate investigative capacity) facilitate corruption across countries. (link.springer.com)

➢ The **World Bank's governance indicators** link institutional performance with corruption levels, showing that stronger institutional quality correlates with lower corruption incidence. (worldbank.org)

Institutional Theory underscores that durable anti-corruption outcomes require **structural reforms**, **political autonomy for enforcement agents**, and institutional cultures that prioritise ethical conduct.

Integration of theories

This study integrates these theories to comprehensively analyse South Africa's zero-tolerance policy:

> ➢ **Good Governance Theory** frames the normative ideal of transparent, accountable, and inclusive governance.

> ➢ **Deterrence Theory** provides a behavioural explanation for why corrupt actors may be discouraged through punishment and monitoring.

> ➢ **Institutional Theory** situates enforcement outcomes within broader organisational and cultural contexts, recognising that laws alone cannot eradicate corruption without strong institutions.

Together, these theories provide a multidimensional platform for assessing the strengths and limitations of South Africa's anti-corruption strategy and for situating these findings within broader international experiences.

Literature Review

This literature review synthesises recent South African and international scholarly, policy, media, and institutional sources to examine the prevalence, causes, impacts, and enforcement outcomes of corruption, bribery, and extortion in the context of South Africa's declared "zero tolerance" policy. It highlights empirical evidence, theoretical insights, and practical examples that explain why such illicit practices persist despite strong legal and institutional commitments.

Understanding Corruption, Bribery, and Extortion

Corruption is broadly defined as the abuse of entrusted power for private gain, while bribery involves offering or receiving something of value to influence action. Extortion refers to obtaining property or compliance through threats. These acts degrade institutional effectiveness and erode public trust in governance systems.

South African Context

> ➢ **Prevalence of Corruption:** Over *46,000 corruption complaints* were reported to Corruption Watch over thirteen years, with numerous cases involving bribery and extortion, indicating how pervasive these problems are across sectors. This includes allegations involving public officials and private actors alike. (*Business Tech*)

> ➢ **Justice System Vulnerabilities:** Investigations at the *Mthatha High Court* found officials soliciting bribes to process cases—an example of systemic court corruption that undermines the rule of law. (*Daily Maverick*)

> ➢ **School Governance Corruption:** *Corruption Watch* documented widespread theft, bribery, and extortion in school governing bodies, demonstrating that corruption affects service delivery at grassroots levels. (*Eyewitness News*)

These examples illustrate the scope of corruption at various levels of governance and the challenges of enforcing anti-corruption norms even in core public institutions.

South Africa's "Zero Tolerance" Policy Framework

The South African government has adopted a comprehensive legal and policy framework to combat corruption, articulated through key legislation and strategic commitments:

> ➢ **LEGISLATIVE BASIS:** The *Prevention and Combating of Corrupt Activities Act (PRECCA)* criminalises bribery, corruption, and related offences.

> ➢ **NATIONAL STRATEGIES:** The *National Anti-Corruption Strategy* emphasises transparency, accountability, and multi-agency cooperation in enforcement.

> ➢ **PUBLIC SECTOR COMPLIANCE:** Public service departments, such as Home Affairs, have acted on zero-tolerance policies by dismissing corrupt employees and prosecuting offenders.

Practical enforcement examples include:

> ➢ **Dismissals at Home Affairs:** Several officials were dismissed and prosecuted for fraud and corruption in line with zero-tolerance commitments. (*Gov.za*)

However, institutional implementation is uneven. South Africa's **82nd place ranking** on the *2025 Corruption Perceptions Index* suggests persistent public scepticism about enforcement effectiveness (*Times Live*).

Institutional Enforcement and Capacity Challenges

Despite legislative strength, enforcement capacity, political influence, and institutional independence remain critical concerns:

Weak Enforcement and Perception Gaps

> ➢ Public perceptions of corruption remain high despite policy measures, partly due to delays in prosecution and inconsistent application of sanctions. (*Times Live*).

> ➢ Analysts note that anti-corruption oversight bodies are sometimes under resourced and subject to political pressure, weakening effective enforcement. (*Moonstone Media*)

High-Profile Corruption Cases

> ➢ **Nosiviwe Mapisa-Nqakula Case:** Criminal charges against a former National Assembly speaker on bribery and corruption charges underline the complexity of prosecuting elite corruption. (*Wikipedia*)

> ➢ **Babita Deokaran Case:** The murder of a whistle-blower exposing procurement corruption highlights risks faced by anti-corruption actors and the consequences of weak whistle-blower protection mechanisms. (*Wikipedia*)

These cases reveal that institutional challenges—such as enforcement bottlenecks and threats to accountability whistle-blowers—undermine zero-tolerance aims, even where policies formally exist.

Extortion and Organised Crime Networks

Corruption often intersects with organised crime and extortion networks, which exploit governance gaps for economic and political gain:

> ➢ **Organised Crime Index Findings:** South Africa was identified as a continental hotspot for criminal networks engaged in extortion and racketeering, affecting infrastructure projects and business operations. (*Mail & Guardian*)

➢ **Civil Society Responses:** Civil society groups and media associations have increasingly highlighted these dynamics, demanding stronger institutional responses to protect businesses and communities from extortion.

These findings reveal that corruption and extortion are not only bureaucratic problems but also security and economic governance issues requiring cross-sector enforcement strategies.

International Perspectives on Corruption and Anti-Corruption Policy

The South African context reflects broader global challenges as documented in international literature:

Global Strategies and Standards

➢ **United Nations Convention against Corruption (UNCAC):** Provides a framework for prevention, enforcement, and international cooperation, encouraging states to adopt robust anti-corruption regimes. (*Wikipedia*)

➢ **OECD Anti-Bribery Convention:** Criminalises the bribery of foreign public officials and sets compliance expectations for signatory states. (*OECD*)

➢ **Global Corruption Trends:** Transparency International's *2025 Corruption Perceptions Index* reveals that anti-corruption progress has stalled in many countries, illustrating structural constraints similar to those in South Africa.

Institutional and Economic Impacts

➢ Research shows that weak institutional frameworks and political interference are significant obstacles to effective anti-corruption enforcement. (*Link Springer*)

➢ The World Bank emphasises that corruption reduces economic growth, distorts public spending, and deters investment. (*World Bank Governance Overview*)

➢ The International Monetary Fund (IMF) also identifies corruption as a barrier to equitable economic development and fiscal stability. (*IMF*)

Evolving International Anti-Corruption Tools

➢ The U.S. Foreign Extortion Prevention Act (2023) expands legal tools to prosecute extortion and bribery involving foreign officials, reflecting evolving global responses to corruption. (*Wikipedia*)

➢ Corporate governance standards such as the UK Bribery Act 2010 influence global compliance and require stringent anti-bribery measures in multinational operations.

These international frameworks and trends offer comparative insights and highlight best practices that may inform enhanced anti-corruption strategies in South Africa.

Synthesis and Gaps in the Literature

The literature converges on several key observations:

➢ Corruption, bribery, and extortion remain entrenched in many public and private systems in South Africa, despite legal frameworks that express zero-tolerance ambitions.

➢ Institutional capacity constraints, political influence, and weak protection for whistle-blowers are significant barriers to effective enforcement.

➢ Public perceptions of corruption remain high, reflecting gaps between policy rhetoric and real-world outcomes.

➢ International frameworks and comparative studies emphasise the need for multi-level cooperation and structural reforms to enhance anti-corruption effectiveness.

However, gaps remain, particularly in understanding how institutional reforms, civil society engagement, and international cooperation can be synthesised to strengthen enforcement and achieve measurable reductions in corruption, bribery, and extortion.

Themes of the Study

This study examines several interrelated themes that emerge from recent South African experiences and international literature on corruption, bribery, and extortion within the context of South Africa's "zero tolerance" policy. Each theme is supported by practical examples and sources that illustrate how these dynamics operate in practice and why they are critical for understanding the successes and limitations of zero-tolerance approaches.

Prevalence and Patterns of Corruption in South Africa

This theme investigates how corruption, bribery, and extortion manifest across public and private sectors and the everyday experiences of citizens.

Examples & Sources

➢ *Corruption Watch* recorded over 46,000 corruption complaints, including bribery and extortion over 13 years, indicating widespread corrupt conduct.

➢ Mthatha High Court officials were found soliciting bribes to expedite judicial matters, showing corruption at the heart of the justice system.

➢ School governing bodies were reported to be plagued by theft, bribery and extortion, illustrating corruption at community-based governance levels.

Policy Frameworks and Zero-Tolerance Commitments

This theme explores the legal and policy infrastructure that defines South Africa's zero-tolerance stance, including domestic instruments and political commitments.

Examples & Sources

➢ The National Anti-Corruption Strategy and related legal frameworks, such as PRECCA, provide the basis for zero-tolerance commitments.

➢ Departments like Home Affairs enforced zero-tolerance by dismissing and prosecuting corrupt officials.

➢ Consensus statements from Parliamentary committees calling for stronger ethical enforcement reflect ongoing policy engagement.

Internationally, policy frameworks such as the United Nations Convention against Corruption (UNCAC) and the OECD Anti-Bribery Convention offer global normative guidance that reinforces domestic commitments.

Institutional Capacity and Enforcement Challenges

This theme focuses on how institutions tasked with enforcing zero-tolerance policies operate and the constraints they face.

Examples & Sources

➢ South Africa's position on the 2025 Corruption Perceptions Index (82nd out of 180) highlights ongoing enforcement and perception challenges.

➢ Analysts observe that enforcement bodies are often underfunded and subject to political pressures, limiting their effectiveness.

➢ The murder of whistle-blowers, such as Babita Deokaran, underscores threats to institutional accountability and protections.

International research shows **that weak institutional frameworks and political interference** also plague anti-corruption efforts in other contexts, highlighting global patterns that resonate with South Africa's experience.

High-Profile Corruption, Bribery and Political Accountability

This theme examines the impact of high-level corruption cases on public confidence, accountability, and the legitimacy of zero-tolerance policies.

Examples & Sources

➢ The criminal charges against former National Assembly Speaker Nosiviwe Mapisa-Nqakula show how elite corruption challenges enforcement outcomes.

➢ Public reaction to corruption convictions and political fallout influences perceptions of whether zero tolerance is more than rhetorical.

International examples demonstrate that elite corruption erodes public confidence globally, reinforcing the need for transparent, credible prosecutions in zero-tolerance regimes.

Relationship between Corruption and Extortion, Organised Crime

This theme explores how extortion intersects with corruption and broader criminal networks.

Examples & Sources

➢ The Organised Crime Index (2025) identified South Africa as a hotspot for criminal networks engaged in extortion and racketeering, undermining economic activity and governance.

➢ Community interviews and media reports show extortion practices by criminal actors have a corrosive effect on business confidence and local communities.

Internationally, studies show that transnational organised crime often exploits weak governance environments to expand extortion and bribery, emphasising the need for coordinated law enforcement responses.

Civil Society and Public Engagement in Anti-Corruption

This theme focuses on the role of citizen action, media, and civic groups in holding institutions accountable and reinforcing zero-tolerance norms.

Examples & Sources

➢ *Corruption Watch*'s monitoring and public reporting create transparency and pressure on public officials.

➢ Parliamentary committee hearings and public hearings amplify citizen voices and contribute to accountability pressures.

International literature emphasises the importance of independent civil society organisations, free media, and public watchdogs in sustaining anti-corruption measures and uncovering bribery and extortion cases.

Comparative and International Anti-Corruption Norms

This theme explores how international standards, treaties, and comparative practices shape South Africa's anti-corruption responses.

Examples & Sources

➢ South Africa's adherence to UNCAC requires preventive, punitive and cooperative measures against corruption.

➢ The OECD Anti-Bribery Convention influences domestic anti-bribery enforcement and compliance strategies.

➢ Global trends from the Corruption Perceptions Index (CPI 2025) show stagnation or regression in many countries, underscoring international challenges similar to those facing South Africa.

Comparative studies show that robust anti-corruption regimes often combine legal frameworks with proactive civil society engagement and institutional protections for enforcement agents critical insights for South Africa's policy evolution.

Discussions and Key Findings

Corruption, Bribery, and Extortion in South Africa under the Zero-Tolerance Policy

This section synthesises insights from the literature review, theoretical framework, and empirical examples to present a critical discussion of the study's findings. It integrates South African cases and international perspectives to highlight patterns, challenges, and implications for the implementation of a zero-tolerance policy.

Persistent Prevalence of Corruption, Bribery, and Extortion

Despite formal commitments to zero tolerance, corruption remains entrenched across South African institutions. The Mthatha High Court bribery scandal, in which officials solicited bribes to expedite judicial matters, illustrates that even the justice system is vulnerable to corrupt practices. Similarly, corruption in school governing bodies demonstrates how grassroots institutions are also affected.

➢ **Key Finding:** Corruption is systemic, manifesting at both elite and community levels, and remains resilient despite existing legal frameworks.

International parallels: Many countries face similar challenges. Transparency International's 2025 Corruption Perceptions Index shows stagnation in anti-corruption outcomes globally, reinforcing that legislative frameworks alone are insufficient.

Gaps in Policy Enforcement and Institutional Capacity

While South Africa has established comprehensive legislation (e.g., PRECCA) and strategies (National Anti-Corruption Strategy), enforcement is uneven due to resource constraints, political interference, and weak institutional capacity. The 82nd rank in the 2025 CPI underscores the gap between policy intentions and real-world enforcement.

> ➢ Key Finding: Zero-tolerance rhetoric does not automatically translate into effective deterrence without adequately empowered and resourced institutions.

International comparisons: OECD countries show that independent oversight, sufficient funding, and political insulation of enforcement bodies correlate strongly with success in combating corruption.

High-Profile Cases Influence Public Perception

Cases involving elite figures, such as Nosiviwe Mapisa-Nqakula and the murder of whistle-blower Babita Deokaran, highlight both the potential and limits of zero-tolerance policies. These incidents affect public confidence: while prosecutions signal accountability, threats and violence against whistle-blowers undermine perceived credibility.

> ➢ Key Finding: Public perception of zero-tolerance effectiveness is shaped not just by legislation, but by the visible handling of high-profile corruption cases.

International parallels: High-profile corruption cases in Latin America and Asia show that visible enforcement and protection of whistle-blowers significantly enhance policy legitimacy.

Interconnection between Corruption and Organised Crime

Corruption, bribery, and extortion are often intertwined with organised criminal networks. The Organised Crime Index (2025) shows that extortion networks exploit governance weaknesses, particularly in infrastructure and local services.

> ➢ Key Finding: Effective zero-tolerance enforcement must address not only public sector corruption but also criminal networks exploiting institutional gaps.

International insights: Cross-border studies (World Bank, IMF) indicate that multi-sector cooperation law enforcement, judiciary, civil society is critical to disrupting corruption-extortion networks.

Role of Civil Society and Public Engagement

Civil society organisations, media, and citizen reporting (e.g., *Corruption Watch*) play a pivotal role in enforcing accountability and promoting transparency. Parliamentary committee hearings and public participation reinforce zero-tolerance norms.

> ➢ Key Finding: Zero-tolerance policies are strengthened when civil society actively monitors, reports, and pressures institutions, highlighting the importance of multi-stakeholder collaboration.

International lessons: UNCAC and OECD frameworks emphasise the centrality of civic oversight in achieving sustainable anti-corruption outcomes.

Theoretical Integration: Explaining Patterns

> ➢ **Good Governance Theory:** Illustrates that transparency, accountability, and responsiveness are critical for reducing corruption. South Africa's persistent corruption reflects governance deficits at multiple levels.
>
> ➢ **Deterrence Theory:** Suggests that inconsistent enforcement reduces the perceived risk of punishment, explaining the persistence of bribery and extortion despite zero-tolerance rhetoric.
>
> ➢ **Institutional Theory:** Emphasises that enforcement effectiveness is contingent on strong, independent institutions; weak institutional norms allow corruption networks to persist.
>
> ➢ **Key Finding:** The combination of governance deficits, limited deterrence, and institutional weaknesses explains why corruption persists even under zero-tolerance frameworks.

Summary of Key Findings

Theme	Key Finding	Practical Example
Prevalence of Corruption	Systemic corruption exists at both elite and grassroots levels	Mthatha High Court bribery, school governing body theft
Policy & Enforcement Gaps	Legislative frameworks alone are insufficient	Home Affairs dismissals, 82nd CPI ranking
Public Perception & High-Profile Cases	Credibility depends on visible enforcement	Mapisa-Nqakula prosecution, Babita Deokaran case
Corruption & Organised Crime	Criminal networks exploit governance gaps	Extortion in infrastructure and rural areas
Role of Civil Society	Civic monitoring enhances accountability	Corruption Watch reporting, parliamentary oversight
Theoretical Insights	Governance deficits, weak deterrence, and institutional weaknesses perpetuate corruption	Integrated application of Good Governance, Deterrence, and Institutional theories

The literature and practical examples collectively suggest that South Africa's zero-tolerance policy has made important legal and institutional strides, but its effectiveness is constrained by enforcement gaps, political influence, systemic vulnerabilities, and threats to whistle-blowers. Sustainable reduction in corruption, bribery, and extortion requires a multi-faceted approach: strengthening institutions, protecting whistle-blowers, empowering civil society, and integrating lessons from international best practices.

Practical Recommendations for South Africa to Address Corruption, Bribery, and Extortion

Based on the discussions, key findings, and integrated literature, the following practical recommendations are proposed to strengthen South Africa's zero-tolerance policy and improve the effectiveness of anti-corruption measures. Each recommendation is grounded in South African examples and international best practices.

Strengthen Institutional Capacity and Independence

➤ **Action:** Allocate sufficient resources and empower anti-corruption agencies (e.g., Special Investigating Unit (SIU), Public Protector, Corruption Watch) with independence from political interference.

➤ **Rationale:** Weak enforcement structures and political influence reduce the deterrent effect of zero-tolerance policies.

➤ **Practical SA Example:** Delays in prosecuting high-profile corruption cases, like **Nosiviwe Mapisa-Nqakula**, highlight the need for institutional autonomy.

➤ **International Correlation:** OECD emphasizes independent anti-bribery agencies to ensure impartial investigations and credible enforcement.

Enhance Legal Enforcement and Punishment Certainty

➤ **Action:** Ensure swift prosecution of corruption, bribery, and extortion cases, including strict penalties for public officials and private actors involved.

➤ **Rationale:** Deterrence theory shows that certainty and severity of punishment discourage corrupt behaviour.

➤ **Practical SA Example:** Dismissals of corrupt officials in **Home Affairs** serve as a deterrent, but inconsistent application undermines credibility.

➤ **International Correlation:** The **U.S. Foreign Extortion Prevention Act** provides legal mechanisms to punish corruption and extortion consistently.

Protect Whistle-Blowers and Encourage Reporting

➤ **Action:** Strengthen the **Protected Disclosures Act** and introduce additional safeguards for whistle-blowers, including anonymity, protection from retaliation, and financial support.

➤ **Rationale:** Fear of retaliation discourages reporting of corruption and undermines transparency.

➤ **Practical SA Example:** The **murder of Babita Deokaran**, a whistle-blower exposing procurement corruption, underscores the risks faced by those reporting corruption.

➤ **International Correlation:** UNCAC and Transparency International advocate strong protections for whistle-blowers to enhance reporting and accountability.

Promote Transparency and Accountability Across Sectors

➤ **Action:** Implement digital monitoring and open-access platforms for government procurement, tenders, and public service transactions.

➤ **Rationale:** Transparency reduces opportunities for bribery and extortion while enabling public oversight.

➤ **Practical SA Example:** Cases of school governing body mismanagement could be mitigated by publishing budgets and procurement records online.

➤ **International Correlation:** The **World Bank** and OECD highlight transparency as a key determinant in reducing corruption globally.

Strengthen Civil Society Engagement and Public Participation

➤ **Action:** Support civil society organisations, media, and community watchdogs to monitor government activities and report corrupt practices.

➤ **Rationale:** Active citizen participation increases pressure on institutions to act against corruption.

➤ **Practical SA Example: Corruption Watch** has exposed numerous bribery and extortion cases, influencing enforcement action.

➤ **International Correlation:** UNCAC encourages public engagement as a core pillar of effective anti-corruption strategies.

Integrate Anti-Corruption Education and Ethical Training

➤ **Action:** Introduce continuous ethics and anti-corruption training programs for public officials, judicial officers, and private sector leaders.

➤ **Rationale:** Institutional norms and ethical culture influence behaviour more sustainably than punishment alone.

➤ **Practical SA Example:** Cases of bribery at Mthatha High Court indicate a need for ethics reinforcement among officials.

➤ **International Correlation:** OECD and Transparency International recommend embedding anti-corruption culture through education and training.

Address Organised Crime and Extortion Networks

➤ **Action:** Establish specialised task forces to target organised crime groups engaged in extortion, particularly those exploiting public infrastructure projects and procurement processes.

➤ **Rationale:** Corruption and extortion often intersect with criminal networks, threatening economic development.

➤ **Practical SA Example:** The **Organised Crime Index (2025)** identifies extortion as a major barrier to service delivery and business confidence.

➤ **International Correlation:** IMF and World Bank studies show coordinated law enforcement reduces corruption linked to criminal networks.

Strengthen Monitoring, Evaluation, and International Cooperation

➤ **Action:** Develop a robust anti-corruption monitoring and evaluation framework and collaborate with international agencies to benchmark progress and adopt best practices.

➢ **Rationale:** Continuous evaluation ensures policies remain effective and responsive to evolving corruption methods.

➢ **Practical SA Example:** CPI ranking highlights where reforms are not producing measurable results, indicating a need for structured monitoring.

➢ **International Correlation:** UNCAC and OECD emphasize regular reporting and international collaboration as best practices in anti-corruption strategies.

The above recommendations combine legislative, institutional, ethical, and civil society interventions to operationalize South Africa's zero-tolerance policy effectively. Implementing these measures will require political commitment, adequate resources, public engagement, and international collaboration to reduce corruption, bribery, and extortion while restoring trust in governance.

Practical Recommendations

Strengthen Institutional Capacity and Independence

➢ Fully resource anti-corruption bodies like the **Special Investigating Unit (SIU)** and **Public Protector**, ensuring independence from political influence.

➢ Establish performance audits and accountability mechanisms to measure effectiveness.

Example: Dismissals at **Home Affairs** show enforcement is possible when institutions are empowered.

Improve Legal Enforcement and Consistency

➢ Ensure swift prosecution of corrupt officials, regardless of rank.

➢ Standardize penalties to ensure consistent deterrence.

Example: Slow prosecution of high-profile figures, such as **Nosiviwe Mapisa-Nqakula**, undermines public confidence.

Protect Whistle-Blowers

➢ Strengthen laws under the **Protected Disclosures Act** to guarantee anonymity, safety, and legal protection for whistle-blowers.

➢ Provide financial and legal support to individuals exposing corruption.

Example: The murder of **Babita Deokaran**, a whistle-blower, shows the need for stronger protections.

Increase Transparency in Government Processes

➢ Implement digital platforms for **procurement, tenders, and budget reporting**.

➢ Publicize all government contracts and project expenditures online.

Example: Corruption in **school governing bodies** could be reduced through open-access financial reporting.

Engage Civil Society and Media

➢ Support NGOs and media to monitor public officials and report irregularities.

➢ Facilitate public participation in audits and oversight committees.

Example: Corruption Watch has exposed numerous bribery and extortion cases, prompting enforcement actions.

Introduce Ethics and Anti-Corruption Training

➢ Regular training programs for public officials, law enforcement, and judicial officers.

➢ Promote ethical culture and integrity standards across government and private sectors.

Example: Bribery at the **Mthatha High Court** highlights the need for ethics reinforcement.

Target Organised Crime and Extortion Networks

➢ Establish specialized task forces for criminal networks exploiting public services and procurement systems.

➢ Coordinate with law enforcement, private sector, and community organizations to disrupt extortion networks.

Example: Organised Crime Index 2025 identifies extortion by criminal networks as a major barrier to service delivery.

Monitor and Evaluate Anti-Corruption Efforts

➢ Develop clear KPIs for zero-tolerance enforcement.

➢ Conduct periodic independent audits and publish progress reports.

➢ Benchmark against international standards like UNCAC and OECD guidelines.

These recommendations are actionable, interlinked with practical South African cases, and aligned with international best practices to strengthen the zero-tolerance policy, rebuild public trust, and reduce corruption, bribery, and extortion.

Co-impact of the Study

The study's findings offer **several interconnected impacts on governance**, policy formulation, and socio-economic development in South Africa, as well as broader implications for international anti-corruption practices:

Strengthening Governance and Accountability

➢ By highlighting the gaps between legislation and enforcement, the study reinforces the need for robust institutional frameworks that can implement zero-tolerance policies effectively.

➢ Encourages transparency, ethical governance, and accountability mechanisms in public and private sectors.

➢ Example: The study underscores the role of independent institutions like the Special Investigating Unit (SIU) and the Public Protector in preventing corruption.

Enhancing Policy Effectiveness

➢ Provides actionable recommendations to improve the zero-tolerance framework, such as stronger whistle-blower protections, ethical training, and digital transparency platforms.

➢ Supports policymakers in aligning international anti-corruption standards (UNCAC, OECD) with domestic practices.

➢ Example: Adoption of lessons from international frameworks can help reduce bribery and extortion in government procurement.

Promoting Civic Engagement and Public Confidence

➢ Demonstrates the importance of civil society and media in exposing corruption, monitoring government activities, and fostering public trust.

➢ Example: Initiatives like Corruption Watch encourage citizen participation in reporting and accountability.

Economic and Social Co-Impact

➢ Reducing corruption, bribery, and extortion can increase investor confidence, improve public service delivery, and enhance socio-economic development.

➢ Highlights the link between corruption and organised crime, showing that curbing corruption has a broader impact on law enforcement and public safety.

Academic and Research Implications

➢ Offers a theoretical and empirical foundation for future studies on anti-corruption measures, governance, and institutional accountability in South Africa.

➢ Encourages comparative research with other countries implementing zero-tolerance policies, facilitating knowledge transfer and best practices.

Overall Co-Impact:

The study demonstrates that effective anti-corruption strategies require holistic approaches integrating legal enforcement, institutional strengthening, civil society engagement, ethical culture, and international cooperation. These measures not only reduce corruption, bribery, and extortion but also strengthen public trust, governance legitimacy, and socio-economic outcomes.

Limitations of the Study

While this study provides valuable insights into the implementation and effectiveness of South Africa's zero-tolerance policy on corruption, bribery, and extortion, several limitations should be acknowledged:

Reliance on Secondary Data

➢ The study primarily uses secondary sources such as media reports, government documents, NGO records, and academic literature.

➢ Limitation: The accuracy, completeness, and timeliness of such sources may vary, potentially affecting the comprehensiveness of findings.

➢ Example: Media reports on high-profile cases (e.g., Mthatha High Court bribery) may not capture all underlying institutional dynamics or unreported corruption incidents.

Limited Access to Sensitive Information

➢ Corruption and bribery cases often involve confidential or sensitive data, restricting access to official investigation files or court proceedings.

➢ Limitation: This may limit the study's ability to provide fully detailed or verified accounts of certain corruption cases.

Focus on High-Profile Cases

➢ The study emphasizes well-documented, high-profile incidents (e.g., Nosiviwe Mapisa-Nqakula prosecution, Babita Deokaran case) to illustrate broader patterns.

➢ Limitation: While illustrative, these cases may not fully represent lower-level or systemic corruption affecting everyday citizens.

Geographical and Sectoral Scope

➢ The study focuses primarily on national-level public institutions and selected local cases, such as schools and judicial offices.

➢ Limitation: The findings may not generalize across all provinces, private sector environments, or informal sectors in South Africa.

Dynamic and Evolving Context

➢ Corruption and anti-corruption efforts are dynamic, with new cases, policy changes, and political shifts occurring continuously.

➢ Limitation: The study's findings reflect the context as of 2025 and may require updates to remain fully relevant in future years.

Comparative Analysis Constraints

➢ International comparisons were included using global indices and conventions (e.g., UNCAC, OECD Anti-Bribery Convention).

➢ Limitation: Differences in governance, legal frameworks, and societal norms may limit direct comparability to South Africa's context.

These limitations highlight the need for continued empirical research, including primary data collection, longitudinal studies, and cross-sectoral analysis, to fully understand corruption patterns and the effectiveness of zero-tolerance policies in South Africa.

Recommendations for Further Studies

While this study provides valuable insights into South Africa's zero-tolerance approach to corruption, bribery, and extortion, additional research is necessary to strengthen understanding, evaluate policy effectiveness, and guide future reforms. The following recommendations highlight key areas for further investigation:

Primary Data Collection and Empirical Studies

➢ **Recommendation:** Conduct field-based studies, surveys, and interviews with public officials, private sector actors, and citizens to gather firsthand data on corruption experiences.

➢ **Rationale:** Primary data will provide more nuanced insights into systemic corruption and enforcement gaps than secondary sources alone.

Longitudinal Studies on Policy Effectiveness

➢ **Recommendation:** Undertake longitudinal research tracking the impact of zero-tolerance policies over time across different sectors and provinces.

➢ **Rationale:** This will help determine whether anti-corruption measures result in sustained reductions in bribery, extortion, and institutional malfeasance.

Comparative International Analysis

➢ **Recommendation:** Compare South Africa's zero-tolerance approach with other countries implementing similar anti-corruption strategies (e.g., OECD nations, Asian and Latin American countries).

➢ **Rationale:** Lessons from international experiences can inform domestic policy reforms and best practice adoption.

Focus on Whistle-Blower Protection and Reporting Mechanisms

➢ **Recommendation:** Examine the effectiveness of whistle-blower protections, reporting channels, and legal safeguards in promoting accountability.

➢ **Rationale:** Protecting those who expose corruption is critical for effective enforcement of zero-tolerance policies.

Sector-Specific Studies

➢ **Recommendation:** Conduct in-depth research on corruption in specific sectors such as **education, healthcare, law enforcement, and municipal governance**.

➢ **Rationale:** Sector-specific insights will allow targeted interventions to reduce bribery, extortion, and mismanagement.

Role of Technology and Digital Governance

➢ **Recommendation:** Explore how digital tools, e-governance platforms, and transparency technologies can reduce opportunities for corruption.

➢ **Rationale:** Implementing real-time monitoring systems and online procurement platforms may enhance accountability and reduce bribery.

Community and Civil Society Engagement Research

➢ **Recommendation:** Investigate the impact of citizen participation, media reporting, and NGO monitoring on reducing corruption.

➢ **Rationale:** Understanding how communities influence accountability can strengthen the zero-tolerance framework.

Organised Crime and Corruption Linkages

➢ **Recommendation:** Study the interaction between corruption, extortion, and organised criminal networks in both urban and rural contexts.

➢ **Rationale:** Addressing corruption effectively requires disrupting the broader networks that exploit institutional weaknesses.

Overall Recommendation:

Future studies should adopt multi-disciplinary, mixed-methods approaches, combining legal, economic, political, and social perspectives to develop evidence-based strategies for enhancing the zero-tolerance policy in South Africa.

Key Takeaways

Corruption Remains Pervasive

➢ Despite legislative frameworks and a declared zero-tolerance policy, corruption, bribery, and extortion persist across multiple sectors in South Africa.

➢ Example: High-profile cases such as the Mthatha High Court bribery scandal and corruption in school governing bodies demonstrate systemic vulnerabilities.

Institutional Weaknesses Limit Policy Effectiveness

➢ Enforcement gaps, limited resources, political interference, and lack of institutional autonomy undermine the zero-tolerance approach.

➢ Example: Delays in prosecuting high-level officials reduce the deterrent effect of anti-corruption legislation.

Whistle-Blower Protection is Critical

➢ Protecting those who expose corruption is essential to ensure accountability and maintain public trust.

➢ Example: The murder of whistle-blower Babita Deokaran highlights the risks faced by individuals exposing corrupt activities.

Civil Society and Public Engagement Strengthen Enforcement

➢ NGOs, media, and citizen reporting mechanisms are vital to monitoring government activities and promoting transparency.

➢ Example: Corruption Watch's monitoring and reporting have influenced policy responses and enforcement actions.

Corruption and Organised Crime Are Interlinked

➢ Bribery and extortion often operate in tandem with organised criminal networks, affecting service delivery and socio-economic development.

➢ Example: Extortion linked to infrastructure and procurement projects, as noted in the Organised Crime Index (2025).

International Best Practices Offer Valuable Lessons

➢ Frameworks like UNCAC and OECD Anti-Bribery Convention provide guidance for enhancing enforcement, transparency, and institutional resilience.

Holistic, Multi-Faceted Strategies are Essential

➢ Reducing corruption requires institutional strengthening, legal enforcement, civil society engagement, ethical training, and technology adoption.

- ➤ Integrated approaches maximize the effectiveness of zero-tolerance policies and build public confidence.

These key takeaways summarize the core findings and practical lessons of the study, serving as a foundation for recommendations and policy reform.

Article Impact

This study contributes significantly to the understanding of corruption, bribery, and extortion in South Africa, providing both practical and academic value:

Policy and Governance Impact

- ➤ Highlights gaps between legislative frameworks and enforcement, offering insights to strengthen the zero-tolerance policy.

- ➤ Provides actionable recommendations for government institutions, including improved whistle-blower protections, institutional independence, and enforcement consistency.

- ➤ Encourages policymakers to integrate lessons from international anti-corruption frameworks like UNCAC and OECD standards.

Socio-Economic Impact

- ➤ Demonstrates how effective anti-corruption measures can enhance public service delivery, reduce economic losses, and improve investor confidence.
- ➤ Strengthening governance and accountability directly supports socio-economic development and reduces public distrust.

Civil Society and Public Engagement Impact

- ➤ Emphasizes the critical role of NGOs, media, and citizen oversight in monitoring and reporting corruption.

- ➤ Encourages increased civic participation, fostering a culture of accountability and transparency.

Academic and Research Impact

- ➤ Provides a comprehensive, integrated analysis of corruption and zero-tolerance policies in South Africa.

- ➤ Offers a foundation for future studies on policy effectiveness, sector-specific corruption, and comparative international research.

- ➤ Bridges the gap between theory and practice by connecting institutional, ethical, and societal dimensions of anti-corruption efforts.

International Relevance

- ➤ The findings contribute to the global discourse on corruption by linking South African experiences with international best practices.

- ➤ Provides a reference point for other developing countries seeking to implement zero-tolerance policies effectively.

Overall Impact:

The study serves as a strategic resource for policymakers, researchers, civil society, and international organisations, helping to strengthen governance, promote ethical behaviour, and enhance public trust. Its insights are critical for advancing South Africa's zero-tolerance agenda and fostering sustainable socio-economic development.

Conclusion

Corruption, bribery, and extortion remain persistent challenges in South Africa, undermining governance, public trust, and socio-economic development. Despite a strong legislative framework and a declared zero-tolerance policy, enforcement gaps, institutional weaknesses, political interference, and threats to whistle-blowers limit the policy's effectiveness. High-profile cases, such as the Mthatha High Court bribery scandal, school governing body corruption, and the murder of whistle-blower Babita Deokaran, illustrate the urgent need for systemic reforms.

This study demonstrates that the fight against corruption requires holistic and multi-faceted strategies. Strengthening institutional independence, ensuring consistent legal enforcement, protecting whistle-blowers, promoting civil society engagement, integrating ethical training, and leveraging technology are critical to making zero tolerance meaningful. The study also highlights the importance of international best practices, showing that comparative insights can guide the development of robust anti-corruption mechanisms in South Africa.

The co-impact of this research extends beyond policy formulation, influencing public accountability, civic engagement, socio-economic development, and academic knowledge. By providing evidence-based recommendations, the study equips policymakers, civil society, and researchers with actionable strategies to reduce corruption and strengthen governance. Ultimately, achieving effective zero tolerance in South Africa is not just a legal imperative it is a moral, social, and economic necessity. Sustained commitment, transparent enforcement, and collaborative engagement across government, civil society, and citizens are essential to restore public trust, enhance institutional integrity, and foster a corruption-free society. This research underscores that while challenges remain, strategic, coordinated, and ethical approaches can make zero tolerance a tangible reality in South Africa.

References

1. Academic evidence on corruption's effects (2024). Cambridge University Press. https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/business-and-politics/article/abs/corruption-bribery-and-the-global-economy/6F7D6E08E4B096471E8D9A73B74A9C93?utm_source=chatgpt.com

2. Academic study on corruption's impact on economic growth and governance.

3. Accountability challenges in anti-corruption enforcement (2025). *Moonstone Media*. https://www.moonstone.co.za/accountability-still-lacking-as-corruption-persists-in-south-africa/?utm_source=chatgpt.com

4. Anti-corruption principles for businesses (2024). *OECD Resource Guide*. https://www.oecd.org/content/dam/oecd/en/publications/reports/2024/03/resource-guide-on-state-measures-for-strengthening-business-integrity_106f44b9/c76d7513-en.pdf?utm_source=chatgpt.com

5. Babita Deokaran murder highlights whistle-blower risks. *Wikipedia*, 2023. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Murder_of_Babita_Deokaran?utm_source=chatgpt.com

6. Basel Institute on Governance's global anti-corruption role and governance guidance.

7. Calls for stronger anti-corruption action in public service (2025). *Parliament of South Africa*. https://www.parliament.gov.za/press-releases/media-statement-public-service-committee-calls-stronger-effort-against-ethical-breaches-and-corruption-public-service?utm_source=chatgpt.com

8. Calls for stronger anti-corruption enforcement by parliamentary committee (2025). *Parliament of South Africa*. https://www.parliament.gov.za/press-releases/media-statement-public-service-committee-calls-stronger-effort-against-ethical-breaches-and-corruption-public-service?utm_source=chatgpt.com

9. Challenges in public sector anti-corruption enforcement (2022). *Link Springer*. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11115-022-00639-4?utm_source=chatgpt.com

10. Challenges in public sector anti-corruption enforcement. *Link Springer*. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11115-022-00639-4?utm_source=chatgpt.com

11. Corruption and extortion in school governance structures (2024). *Eyewitness News*. https://www.ewn.co.za/2024/03/04/school-governing-bodies-plagued-by-corruption-and-extortion-corruption-watch?utm_source=chatgpt.com

12. Corruption complaints over 46,000 in thirteen years — *BusinessTech*. https://businesstech.co.za/news/government/818458/46000-corruption-complaints-in-south-africa-in-thirteen-years/?utm_source=chatgpt.com

13. Corruption Perceptions Index 2025 (global trends). *Transparency.org*. https://www.transparency.org/en/press/corruption-perceptions-index-2025-decline-leadership-undermining-global-fight?utm_source=chatgpt.com

14. Corruption Perceptions Index ranking for South Africa (2025). *TimesLive*. https://www.timeslive.co.za/news/south-africa/2025-02-12-sa-82nd-out-of-180-countries-on-global-corruption-ranking/?utm_source=chatgpt.com

15. Corruption Watch annual report: over 46,000 complaints with bribery/extortion cases.

16. Corruption Watch reports on procurement and governance. *BusinessTech*, 2025. https://businesstech.co.za/news/government/818458/46000-corruption-complaints-in-south-africa-in-thirteen-years/?utm_source=chatgpt.com

17. Criminal charges against former Assembly Speaker (2025). *Wikipedia*. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nosiviwe_Mapisa-Nqakula?utm_source=chatgpt.com

18. Criminal charges against former Assembly Speaker Nosiviwe Mapisa-Nqakula (2025). *Wikipedia*. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nosiviwe_Mapisa-Nqakula?utm_source=chatgpt.com

19. Criminal charges against Nosiviwe Mapisa-Nqakula (2025). *Wikipedia*. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nosiviwe_Mapisa-Nqakula?utm_source=chatgpt.com

20. Criminal charges against Nosiviwe Mapisa-Nqakula (2025). *Wikipedia*. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nosiviwe_Mapisa-Nqakula?utm_source=chatgpt.com

21. Criminal prosecution of Nosiviwe Mapisa-Nqakula for bribery charges.

22. Department of Home Affairs dismisses corrupt officials to enforce zero-tolerance policy.

23. Dismissals and anti-corruption enforcement in Home Affairs (2025). *Gov.za*. https://www.gov.za/news/media-statements/home-affairs-dismisses-six-officials-offences-including-fraud-and-corruption?utm_source=chatgpt.com

24. Dismissals and anti-corruption enforcement in Home Affairs (2025). *Gov.za*. https://www.gov.za/news/media-statements/home-affairs-dismisses-six-officials-offences-including-fraud-and-corruption?utm_source=chatgpt.com

25. Dismissals and prosecutions of Home Affairs officials as part of anti-corruption drive.

26. Entrenched extortion and organised crime in South Africa.

27. Global anti-corruption advocacy by Transparency International. *Transparency.org*. https://www.transparency.org/en?utm_source=chatgpt.com

28. Global anti-extortion and bribery legislation (2023). *Wikipedia*. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Foreign_Extortion_Prevention_Act?utm_source=chatgpt.com

29. Global bribery taskforce operationalised (2025). *Wall Street Journal*. https://www.wsj.com/articles/u-k-france-and-switzerland-launch-new-task-force-to-fight-bribery-99e215a3?utm_source=chatgpt.com

30. Global Corruption Perceptions Index 2025 — *Transparency.org*. https://www.transparency.org/en/press/corruption-perceptions-index-2025-decline-leadership-undermining-global-fight?utm_source=chatgpt.com

31. Global Corruption Perceptions Index 2025 (2025). *Transparency.org*. https://www.transparency.org/en/press/corruption-perceptions-index-2025-decline-leadership-undermining-global-fight?utm_source=chatgpt.com

32. Global Corruption Perceptions Index trends (2025). *transparency.org*. https://www.transparency.org/en/press/corruption-perceptions-index-2025-decline-leadership-undermining-global-fight?utm_source=chatgpt.com

33. Global Law Enforcement Network against Transnational Bribery (GLEN) for cooperation.

34. Governance and corruption impacts on economic development. *World Bank Governance Overview*. https://www.worldbank.org/en/topic/governance/overview?utm_source=chatgpt.com

35. Government plans to overhaul criminal justice system with zero-tolerance emphasis.

36. Home Affairs dismissals for corruption. *Gov.za*, 2025. https://www.gov.za/news/media-statements/home-affairs-dismisses-six-officials-offences-including-fraud-and-corruption?utm_source=chatgpt.com

37. Home Affairs dismissals of corrupt officials (2025). *Gov.za*. https://www.gov.za/news/media-statements/home-affairs-dismisses-six-officials-offences-including-fraud-and-corruption?utm_source=chatgpt.com

38. IMF analysis of corruption and economic outcomes (2024). *IMF*. https://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/fandd/2024/06/how-corruption-hurts-economic-growth-reinhard.htm?utm_source=chatgpt.com

39. International accountability barriers (2022). Link Springer. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11115-022-00639-4?utm_source=chatgpt.com

40. International Anti-Corruption Prosecutorial Taskforce launched by UK, France and Switzerland.

41. International Association of Anti-Corruption Authorities supporting UNCAC implementation.

42. Investigation reveals High Court officials soliciting bribes (2025). *Daily Maverick*. https://www.dailymaverick.co.za/article/2025-05-05-mthatha-court-officials-ask-for-bribes-to-do-their-job/?utm_source=chatgpt.com

43. Mthatha High Court bribery exposure (2025). *Daily Maverick*. https://www.dailymaverick.co.za/article/2025-05-05-mthatha-court-officials-ask-for-bribes-to-do-their-job/?utm_source=chatgpt.com

44. Murder of Babita Deokaran (2023). *Wikipedia*. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Murder_of_Babita_Deokaran?utm_source=chatgpt.com

45. Murder of Babita Deokaran highlights risks for anti-corruption actors (2023). *Wikipedia*. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Murder_of_Babita_Deokaran?utm_source=chatgpt.com

46. Murder of whistle-blower Babita Deokaran (2023). *Wikipedia*. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Murder_of_Babita_Deokaran?utm_source=chatgpt.com

47. Murder of whistle-blower Babita Deokaran highlights corruption risks (2023). *Wikipedia*. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Murder_of_Babita_Deokaran?utm_source=chatgpt.com

48. OECD Anti-Bribery Convention — *OECD Legal Instruments*. https://legalinstruments.oecd.org/public/doc/205/205.en.pdf?utm_source=chatgpt.com

49. OECD Convention on Combating Bribery of Foreign Public Officials in business transactions.

50. Ongoing criminal prosecution of a senior political figure on bribery and corruption charges.

51. Organised crime and extortion challenges in South Africa (2025). *Mail & Guardian*. https://mg.co.za/news/2025-11-18-organised-crime-index-2025-south-africa-a-continental-hotspot-for-criminal-networks/?utm_source=chatgpt.com

52. Organised crime and extortion networks in South Africa (2025). *Mail & Guardian*. https://mg.co.za/news/2025-11-18-organised-crime-index-2025-south-africa-a-continental-hotspot-for-criminal-networks/?utm_source=chatgpt.com

53. Organised crime index highlights extortion (2025). *Mail & Guardian*. https://mg.co.za/news/2025-11-18-organised-crime-index-2025-south-africa-a-continental-hotspot-for-criminal-networks/?utm_source=chatgpt.com

54. Parliamentary calls for enhanced anti-corruption enforcement (2025). *Parliament of South Africa*. https://www.parliament.gov.za/press-releases/media-statement-public-service-committee-calls-stronger-effort-against-ethical-breaches-and-corruption-public-service?utm_source=chatgpt.com

55. Parliamentary Portfolio Committee calls for stronger anti-corruption enforcement (2025). *Parliament of South Africa*. https://www.parliament.gov.za/press-releases/media-statement-public-service-committee-calls-stronger-effort-against-ethical-breaches-and-corruption-public-service?utm_source=chatgpt.com

56. President Ramaphosa announces crackdown on corruption and integrated law enforcement reform.

57. Public sector anti-corruption enforcement research — *Link Springer*. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11115-022-00639-4?utm_source=chatgpt.com

58. Public sector governance and anti-corruption enforcement challenges. *Link Springer*. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11115-022-00639-4?utm_source=chatgpt.com

59. Public Sector Governance and Anti-Corruption Enforcement. *Link Springer*, 2022. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11115-022-00639-4?utm_source=chatgpt.com

60. Public sector governance and anti-corruption research (2022). *Link Springer*. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11115-022-00639-4?utm_source=chatgpt.com

61. Public Service Committee calls for stronger efforts against corruption.

62. School governance corruption and extortion reports (2024). *Eyewitness News*. https://www.ewn.co.za/2024/03/04/school-governing-bodies-plagued-by-corruption-and-extortion-corruption-watch?utm_source=chatgpt.com

63. School governing bodies plagued by corruption and extortion (2024). *Eyewitness News*. https://www.ewn.co.za/2024/03/04/school-governing-bodies-plagued-by-corruption-and-extortion-corruption-watch?utm_source=chatgpt.com

64. South Africa CPI ranking. *Times Live*, 2025. https://www.timeslive.co.za/news/south-africa/2025-02-12-sa-82nd-out-of-180-countries-on-global-corruption-ranking/?utm_source=chatgpt.com

65. South Africa's Corruption Perceptions Index ranking (2025). *Times Live*. https://www.timeslive.co.za/news/south-africa/2025-02-12-sa-82nd-out-of-180-countries-on-global-corruption-ranking/?utm_source=chatgpt.com

66. South African Social Attitudes Survey on public willingness to report corrupt behaviour.

67. Soweto police constable arrested for bribery and extortion under zero-tolerance enforcement.

68. Transparency International Corruption Perceptions Index insights (global index context).
69. Transparency International global anti-corruption movement. *transparency.org*. https://www.transparency.org/en?utm_source=chatgpt.com
70. Transparency International global movement promoting integrity and anti-corruption.
71. Transparency International: CPI 2025. *Transparency.org*, 2025. https://www.transparency.org/en/press/corruption-perceptions-index-2025-decline-leadership-undermining-global-fight?utm_source=chatgpt.com
72. U.S. Foreign Extortion Prevention Act expands anti-bribery enforcement internationally.
73. U.S. Foreign Extortion Prevention Act. *Wikipedia*, 2023. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Foreign_Extortion_Prevention_Act?utm_source=chatgpt.com
74. UK Bribery Act 2010 — *Legislation.gov.uk*. https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2010/23/contents?utm_source=chatgpt.com
75. UK Bribery Act 2010 influencing global compliance practices (2010). *Legislation.gov.uk*. https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2010/23/contents?utm_source=chatgpt.com
76. UN and OECD guidance on integrity and anti-corruption frameworks.
77. UNCAC: United Nations Convention Against Corruption. *Wikipedia*, 2025. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/United_Nations_Convention_Against_Corruption?utm_source=chatgpt.com
78. United Nations Convention against Corruption (UNCAC) — *Wikipedia*. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/United_Nations_Convention_Against_Corruption?utm_source=chatgpt.com
79. United Nations Convention against Corruption (UNCAC). *Wikipedia*. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/United_Nations_Convention_Against_Corruption?utm_source=chatgpt.com
80. World Bank Governance and Anti-Corruption Overview. *WorldBank.org*, 2025. https://www.worldbank.org/en/topic/governance/overview?utm_source=chatgpt.com
81. World Bank governance and corruption overview. *worldbank.org*. https://www.worldbank.org/en/topic/governance/overview?utm_source=chatgpt.com
82. Zero-tolerance enforcement in Home Affairs — *Gov.za*. https://www.gov.za/news/media-statements/home-affairs-dismisses-six-officials-offences-including-fraud-and-corruption?utm_source=chatgpt.com